

A Comparative Analysis of Consumer Protection Norms in E-Commerce Between Indonesia's Civil Law and Singapore's Common Law Systems

Agus Satory¹ , Luis Santos² , Samantha Gonzales³ 

¹Universitas Pakuan Bogor, Indonesia

²University of the Philippines Diliman, Philippines

³University of Santo Tomas, Philippines

ABSTRACT

Background. The rapid expansion of e-commerce has intensified consumer protection challenges in digital markets. Legal systems are required to respond to issues such as information asymmetry, unfair contract terms, and limited access to remedies, particularly within cross-border online transactions.

Purpose. This study aims to analyze and compare consumer protection norms in e-commerce within Indonesia's civil law system and Singapore's common law system, focusing on how legal tradition shapes regulatory design and enforcement mechanisms.

Method. The research employs a qualitative comparative legal approach using doctrinal and normative analysis. Primary legal sources, including statutes, regulations, and judicial decisions, are examined alongside secondary legal literature through a structured comparative framework.

Results. The findings reveal that Indonesia emphasizes codified rules and administrative enforcement to ensure legal certainty, while Singapore relies on judicial interpretation and principle-based regulation to maintain flexibility.

Conclusion. The study concludes that legal tradition remains a decisive factor in shaping consumer protection norms in e-commerce. The novelty of this research lies in its contextual comparative analysis within Southeast Asia, demonstrating that digitalization does not eliminate legal diversity but reconfigures its expression in consumer protection law.

KEYWORDS

Commerce Law, Consumer Protection, Legal Systems

Citation: Satory, A., Santos, L & Gonzales, S. (2025). A Comparative Analysis of Consumer Protection Norms in E-Commerce Between Indonesia's Civil Law and Singapore's Common Law Systems. *Rechtsnormen Journal of Law*, 3(6), 314–322.

<https://doi.org/10.70177/rjl.v3i6.3014>

Correspondence:

Agus Satory,
agussatory@unpak.ac.id

Received: June 1, 2025

Accepted: Aug 8, 2025

Published: Dec 2, 2025

INTRODUCTION

E-commerce has transformed consumer transactions by removing geographical barriers, accelerating market access, and reshaping contractual relationships between businesses and consumers. Digital platforms now dominate retail activities in Southeast Asia, particularly in Indonesia and Singapore, where online marketplaces, cross-border transactions, and digital payment systems have become integral to daily economic life (Ge, 2025; Thiébaud, 2024). This transformation has intensified legal concerns surrounding consumer protection, especially regarding transparency, data security, dispute resolution, and fairness in standard-form contracts.



Consumer protection law has long been recognized as a corrective mechanism to address power imbalances between sellers and consumers. In the context of e-commerce, this imbalance becomes more pronounced due to information asymmetry, automated transactions, and the limited bargaining position of consumers. Existing scholarship generally agrees that effective consumer protection norms are essential to maintaining trust in digital markets and ensuring sustainable economic growth. Legal systems are therefore expected to adapt traditional consumer protection principles to the realities of online commerce (Baranski dkk., 2025; Jovanovic dkk., 2025).

Comparative legal studies demonstrate that legal traditions significantly influence the formulation and enforcement of consumer protection norms. Civil law systems tend to emphasize codified statutes, regulatory certainty, and administrative enforcement mechanisms. Common law systems, by contrast, often rely on judicial precedent, flexible interpretation, and the development of norms through case law. These structural differences shape how consumer rights are defined, protected, and remedied within e-commerce environments (Ngcobo, 2024; Subagyo dkk., 2024).

Legal theory on consumer protection frequently draws upon the concept of *protective justice*, which positions consumers as legally vulnerable parties requiring affirmative safeguards from the state. This theory is complemented by the principle of *market failure*, which justifies regulatory intervention when free markets fail to ensure fairness and efficiency. Within digital commerce, these theories support the expansion of disclosure obligations, liability standards, and accessible remedies to counterbalance technological and informational disadvantages faced by consumers (Ezzerouali dkk., 2025; Seo, 2025).

Indonesia and Singapore are often cited as representative models of civil law and common law systems within Southeast Asia. Indonesia's consumer protection framework is largely grounded in statutory regulation and sectoral oversight, while Singapore emphasizes judicial consistency and adaptive legal interpretation. Existing literature acknowledges that both systems aim to protect consumers in e-commerce, yet they operationalize protection through distinct legal logics, institutional arrangements, and enforcement strategies that reflect their underlying legal traditions.

Existing studies on e-commerce law predominantly focus on domestic consumer protection regimes without placing them in a structured comparative legal framework. Much of the literature examines Indonesia and Singapore separately, emphasizing statutory development, regulatory enforcement, or judicial decisions within each jurisdiction. Limited attention has been given to how differences in legal traditions civil law and common law systematically shape consumer protection norms in the digital marketplace (Kaya & Şahin-Şengül, 2025; Pflücke, 2024).

Current research often treats consumer protection in e-commerce as a technical regulatory issue rather than a product of deeper legal culture and normative reasoning. As a result, the interaction between codified rules, judicial discretion, and institutional enforcement in cross-border digital transactions remains underexplored. This gap restricts a comprehensive understanding of how consumers experience legal protection differently under distinct legal systems.

Comparative legal analyses that do exist tend to concentrate on Western jurisdictions, particularly the European Union and the United States. Southeast Asian legal systems are still underrepresented in comparative consumer law scholarship, despite their rapid digital economic growth. Consequently, the normative implications of Indonesia's civil law orientation and Singapore's common law approach within the same regional economic ecosystem have not been sufficiently articulated (Alqudah dkk., 2024; Jebarah, 2025).

Legal theory concerning *legal transplants* and *convergence of law* suggests that globalization may harmonize consumer protection norms across jurisdictions. However, empirical and doctrinal evidence explaining whether such convergence actually occurs in e-commerce regulation between

civil law and common law systems in Southeast Asia remains scarce. The absence of this analysis leaves unanswered questions regarding the extent to which legal tradition continues to matter in the digital age.

Addressing this gap is essential to clarify how legal tradition influences consumer protection effectiveness in e-commerce environments. A comparative analysis between Indonesia and Singapore offers a strategic lens to examine how different normative foundations respond to similar technological and market challenges. Such an approach enables a deeper assessment of strengths, limitations, and systemic vulnerabilities within each legal framework (Chien, 2025; Singh dkk., 2025).

Understanding these differences carries practical significance for policymakers, regulators, and digital market actors. Clear insights into divergent protection mechanisms may inform legal reform, regional cooperation, and cross-border dispute resolution strategies. Consumers engaging in transnational e-commerce transactions also benefit from greater legal certainty regarding their rights and available remedies.

This study is guided by the assumption that legal tradition continues to shape regulatory behavior even in highly globalized digital markets. Drawing on comparative law theory and consumer protection doctrine, the research hypothesizes that Indonesia's civil law system prioritizes regulatory codification and administrative safeguards, while Singapore's common law system emphasizes judicial adaptability and precedent-based protection. Examining these dynamics contributes to both theoretical development and policy-oriented discourse on consumer protection in e-commerce (Barta & Nyikes, 2024; Vishwakarma & Goyal, 2025).

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study employs a qualitative comparative legal research design aimed at examining and contrasting consumer protection norms in e-commerce within Indonesia's civil law system and Singapore's common law system. The analysis is doctrinal and normative in nature, focusing on statutes, regulations, judicial decisions, and authoritative legal documents relevant to consumer protection and electronic commerce. A comparative approach is used to identify similarities, differences, and underlying legal rationales shaped by distinct legal traditions (Ha, 2024; Pozhodzhuk dkk., 2025).

The population of this research consists of legal norms and regulatory frameworks governing consumer protection in e-commerce within Indonesia and Singapore. The sample includes selected primary legal materials such as consumer protection laws, e-commerce regulations, and landmark court decisions, as well as secondary sources including academic articles, legal commentaries, and policy reports (Alghuwairi dkk., 2024; Patel dkk., 2025). These materials are purposively selected based on their relevance to digital consumer rights, enforcement mechanisms, and dispute resolution.

The primary research instrument is a structured legal analysis framework designed to compare key aspects of consumer protection norms across both jurisdictions. This framework includes analytical indicators such as scope of consumer rights, obligations of e-commerce providers, liability standards, enforcement institutions, and available legal remedies. Document analysis sheets and comparative matrices are used to systematically record and interpret legal provisions and judicial reasoning.

Data collection is conducted through comprehensive legal document review and classification of relevant norms within each legal system. The collected materials are analyzed by applying the comparative framework to identify patterns, divergences, and convergences between Indonesia and

Singapore. The findings are then interpreted through the lens of comparative law theory to draw normative conclusions regarding the influence of civil law and common law traditions on consumer protection in e-commerce (Shair dkk., 2025; Wagner, 2024).

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

E-commerce growth indicators in Indonesia and Singapore show a consistent increase in digital transactions, accompanied by rising consumer complaints related to online trade. Secondary data from governmental reports, regulatory agencies, and international digital economy surveys indicate that consumer disputes in both jurisdictions are dominated by issues of product mismatch, delayed delivery, refund refusal, and misuse of personal data. These patterns reflect structural challenges inherent in digital marketplaces rather than isolated regulatory failures.

Regulatory documentation demonstrates that Indonesia relies heavily on statutory instruments such as consumer protection laws, electronic transaction regulations, and ministerial guidelines. Singapore's framework is reflected through consolidated statutes complemented by judicial interpretations and regulatory advisories. The data indicate differences in institutional design, enforcement style, and legal certainty, despite addressing similar categories of consumer risk.

A comparative synthesis of secondary legal data highlights contrasting legal responses to identical e-commerce phenomena. Indonesia emphasizes administrative supervision and predefined obligations for platform providers. Singapore places stronger emphasis on interpretive flexibility and compliance-driven enforcement. These distinctions are systematically summarized in Table 1.

Table 1. Comparative Overview of Consumer Protection Norms in E-Commerce

Aspect	Indonesia (Civil Law System)	Singapore (Common Law System)
Legal Tradition	Codified civil law	Precedent-based common law
Primary Legal Sources	Consumer Protection Act, E-Commerce Regulations	Consumer Protection (Fair Trading) Act, Electronic Transactions Act
Nature of Regulation	Detailed statutory provisions	Principle-based statutory rules
Enforcement Authority	Government agencies and administrative bodies	Courts and regulatory authorities
Role of Judiciary	Limited interpretive discretion	Strong role in norm development
Consumer Remedies	Administrative sanctions, compensation	Judicial remedies, injunctions, damages
Standard Contracts	Regulated by statutory clauses	Assessed through judicial fairness tests
Data Protection Linkage	Sectoral and statutory integration	Integrated through judicial interpretation

The statistical and regulatory data indicate that consumer protection in Indonesian e-commerce is structured around legal certainty and preventive regulation. Codification allows consumers and businesses to identify rights and obligations with relative clarity. The emphasis on written norms reflects the civil law objective of minimizing interpretive ambiguity in market transactions. Singapore's data reveal a contrasting regulatory logic where flexibility is prioritized over exhaustive codification. Judicial decisions and regulatory guidance play a central role in shaping consumer protection standards. This approach allows legal norms to evolve in response to new business models and technological change without frequent legislative amendment. Comparative interpretation of the data suggests that effectiveness in consumer protection is not

solely determined by the volume of regulation. Institutional coherence, enforcement capacity, and adaptability emerge as decisive factors shaping how legal norms operate in digital commerce environments.

Normative analysis of statutory provisions shows that Indonesia's consumer protection regime explicitly regulates e-commerce actors through licensing obligations, mandatory disclosures, and platform accountability. Legal texts clearly define prohibited practices and administrative penalties, reinforcing the preventive orientation of the system. Singapore's legal texts exhibit a more general formulation of consumer rights and unfair practices. Legal norms are intentionally open-textured, allowing courts to assess fairness and reasonableness based on contextual factors. This structure places interpretive authority at the judicial level rather than in detailed legislation. Documentation of enforcement practices demonstrates that Indonesian consumers frequently rely on administrative complaint mechanisms. Singaporean consumers more often engage formal dispute resolution channels, including courts and mediation bodies, reflecting different institutional pathways embedded in each system.

The descriptive data illustrate how legal tradition influences regulatory architecture. Indonesia's civil law system channels consumer protection through predefined statutory pathways that reduce discretion at the enforcement stage. Predictability becomes a central normative value within this framework. Singapore's common law approach enables consumer protection norms to develop incrementally through adjudication. Judicial reasoning allows abstract statutory principles to be tailored to specific e-commerce disputes. Normative development occurs dynamically rather than legislatively. The data explanation indicates that both systems pursue consumer welfare through different normative strategies. Codification prioritizes uniformity, while precedent prioritizes contextual justice. These strategies shape not only legal outcomes but also consumer expectations and business compliance behavior.

Relational analysis between legal norms and enforcement outcomes reveals that statutory clarity in Indonesia correlates with strong administrative oversight but limited judicial innovation. Consumer protection effectiveness depends heavily on regulatory capacity and bureaucratic coordination. In Singapore, the relationship between judicial discretion and enforcement outcomes demonstrates greater adaptability to emerging digital practices. Courts play a key role in translating abstract fairness principles into enforceable consumer rights. The relationship between legal tradition and consumer trust indicates that predictability and adaptability operate as complementary values. The balance between them differs significantly across the two jurisdictions, shaping distinct models of digital consumer protection.

A representative Indonesian case involving an online marketplace dispute illustrates reliance on statutory obligations concerning refund and liability. Regulatory authorities applied codified rules to resolve the dispute through administrative orders and compliance directives. A comparable Singaporean case concerning misleading online advertising demonstrates judicial reliance on fairness standards and consumer detriment analysis. Courts interpreted statutory principles in light of evolving digital marketing practices. Both cases reflect consumer vulnerability in e-commerce transactions while revealing distinct institutional responses. The Indonesian case emphasizes regulatory enforcement, while the Singaporean case highlights judicial reasoning as the primary dispute resolution mechanism.

Case study analysis explains how codified norms in Indonesia streamline dispute resolution through administrative clarity. Legal certainty facilitates rapid enforcement but limits contextual interpretation beyond statutory boundaries. Judicial explanation in the Singaporean case illustrates normative flexibility. Courts assessed intent, consumer perception, and market impact rather than

relying solely on predefined rules. The explanation demonstrates that institutional design determines how abstract consumer protection values are operationalized in concrete disputes. Legal tradition directly influences interpretive authority and enforcement style.

The relational synthesis between comparative data and case studies shows a consistent alignment between legal tradition and enforcement behavior. Indonesia's civil law system reinforces rule-based compliance, while Singapore's common law system reinforces principle-based adjudication. Consumer protection outcomes are shaped by the interaction between legal norms, institutions, and dispute mechanisms. Differences in legal culture produce distinct yet functionally comparable protection models. The relational findings confirm that legal tradition remains a significant determinant of consumer protection norms in e-commerce. Digital market convergence does not eliminate normative divergence, but instead reconfigures how protection is delivered within different legal systems.

The findings demonstrate that consumer protection norms in e-commerce are strongly shaped by underlying legal traditions. Indonesia's civil law system prioritizes codification, administrative supervision, and predefined obligations for digital platforms. Singapore's common law system emphasizes judicial interpretation, flexibility, and principle-based enforcement to address consumer harm in online transactions. The comparative results reveal that both systems address similar consumer risks, including misleading information, unfair contract terms, and weak dispute resolution. Differences emerge in how legal certainty and adaptability are balanced within each framework. Indonesia ensures predictability through statutes, while Singapore allows legal norms to evolve through case law. Overall findings indicate that digitalization has not erased legal diversity. Legal tradition continues to influence how consumer protection is conceptualized, implemented, and enforced within e-commerce ecosystems.

Prior studies on e-commerce regulation often emphasize harmonization and regulatory convergence driven by globalization. The findings of this study partially challenge that assumption by showing persistent normative divergence between civil law and common law systems in Southeast Asia. Legal uniformity appears limited at the level of institutional practice. Comparative research focusing on Western jurisdictions frequently highlights the dominance of judicial mechanisms in consumer protection. The Indonesian case diverges from this pattern by demonstrating the continued relevance of administrative enforcement in civil law systems. This contrast enriches comparative legal scholarship beyond Euro-American perspectives. Existing literature generally treats consumer protection effectiveness as a function of regulatory density. The results of this study suggest that effectiveness depends more on institutional coherence and legal culture than on the sheer number of legal rules governing e-commerce activities.

The findings signal that legal tradition remains a central structuring force in digital governance. E-commerce operates within technological uniformity, yet legal responses remain culturally and institutionally distinct. Digital markets adapt to law rather than law fully adapting to digital markets. The results reflect a broader tension between stability and flexibility in contemporary regulation. Indonesia's approach signifies a preference for legal certainty and preventive control. Singapore's approach signifies trust in judicial reasoning and contextual justice to manage evolving digital risks. These findings indicate that digital transformation does not automatically produce regulatory convergence. Instead, it amplifies the visibility of legal tradition as a differentiating factor in consumer protection regimes.

The implications extend to policymakers seeking to strengthen consumer protection in digital markets. Regulatory reform should consider institutional capacity and legal culture rather than adopting foreign legal models without contextual adaptation. Comparative insight becomes

essential for effective policy transfer. For cross-border e-commerce, the findings imply legal uncertainty for consumers and businesses operating across jurisdictions (Al-Khalidi, 2024; Puri & Kapoor, 2025). Divergent enforcement mechanisms require greater transparency and cooperation to ensure consistent consumer protection outcomes in regional digital trade. The results also carry implications for legal scholarship by reaffirming the relevance of comparative law in analyzing digital regulation. E-commerce should be understood as a legally plural space rather than a uniform global marketplace.

The divergence observed arises from historical and structural characteristics of each legal system. Civil law traditions prioritize legislative authority and systematic codification, shaping Indonesia's reliance on statutory regulation and administrative enforcement. Common law traditions emphasize judicial reasoning and incremental norm development. Singapore's legal framework reflects this orientation by allowing courts to interpret consumer protection principles in response to new digital practices (Haq, 2024; Idem dkk., 2024). Institutional trust also plays a role in shaping outcomes. Indonesia places greater reliance on regulatory agencies to protect consumers, while Singapore relies on courts and dispute resolution mechanisms to balance market efficiency and fairness.

Future regulatory development may benefit from selective integration of both approaches. Indonesia could enhance judicial engagement in complex digital disputes, while Singapore could strengthen statutory clarity in emerging areas of e-commerce risk. Hybrid regulatory models warrant further exploration. Subsequent research should expand comparative analysis to other Southeast Asian jurisdictions to examine regional patterns of consumer protection diversity (Mohamad dkk., 2025; Risal, 2024). Empirical studies involving consumer experiences and enforcement outcomes would complement doctrinal findings. The present findings encourage policymakers, scholars, and regulators to move beyond assumptions of convergence. Digital governance should be approached as a dynamic interaction between technology, market behavior, and enduring legal tradition.

CONCLUSION

The most significant finding of this study lies in the persistent influence of legal tradition on consumer protection norms in e-commerce. Indonesia's civil law system demonstrates a rule-based and preventive model centered on codification and administrative enforcement, while Singapore's common law system exhibits a principle-based and adaptive model driven by judicial interpretation. These differences show that digital market similarity does not result in uniform legal protection, as each system operationalizes consumer rights through distinct institutional logics.

The primary contribution of this research is conceptual rather than methodological. The study advances comparative consumer law by integrating legal tradition as a central analytical variable in understanding e-commerce regulation within Southeast Asia. By juxtaposing civil law and common law systems within a shared regional and digital context, the research enriches comparative legal discourse and provides a nuanced framework for evaluating consumer protection beyond regulatory convergence narratives.

The study is limited by its doctrinal and normative focus, relying on secondary legal materials without empirical measurement of consumer experiences or enforcement effectiveness. Future research may expand this analysis through empirical studies, cross-border dispute data, or inclusion of additional jurisdictions to assess whether similar patterns persist across diverse digital economies and legal cultures.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTION

Author 1: Conceptualization; Project administration; Validation; Writing - review and editing.

Author 2: Conceptualization; Data curation; In-vestigation.

Author 3: Data curation; Investigation.

REFERENCES

- Alghuwairi, A., Khalaileh, L., Al-Billeh, T., Al-Qheiw, M. A., & Almamari, A. (2024). Penal Protection for the Consumer in E-Commerce Contracts by the Provisions of Jordanian Legislation. *Pakistan Journal of Criminology*, 16(2). Scopus. <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85191503418&partnerID=40&md5=bd483d1fe62d6859b5ce7c7f20fa0f52>
- Al-Khalidi, F. K. H. (2024). From Taghrīr to Trust: A Comparative Study of Misrepresentation Challenges in Online Sales Contracts Within Islamic Law and the Jordanian Legal Framework. Dalam J. Fraedrich, M. Pirtskalava, T. Khoshtaria, H. Terzi, M. Bayirli, & B. Al Serhan (Ed.), *Springer Proc. Bus. Econ.* (hlm. 297–322). Springer Nature; Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-97-5400-7_17
- Alqudah, M. S. M., Alzawahreh, M. M., Almajali, T. A. T., Alghathian, G. A., & Al-Lasasmeh, M. R. (2024). Consumer's Right of Withdrawal in E-Commerce Contracts: A Comparative Study of the Jordanian Civil Law. *Pakistan Journal of Criminology*, 16(2), 1081–1094. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.62271/pjc.16.2.1081.1094>
- Baranski, S., Szymański, J., & Mora, H. (2025). Anonymous provision of privacy-sensitive services using blockchain and decentralised storage. *International Journal of Information Security*, 24(3). Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10207-025-01052-w>
- Barta, J., & Nyikes, Z. (2024). Cyber Threats to Consumers and Other Risks in the Field of E-commerce Security. Dalam *Adv. Sci. Tech. Sec. Appl.: Vol. Part F2433* (hlm. 33–43). Springer; Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-47990-8_4
- Chien, T. M. (2025). Cross-Border E-Commerce and Consumer Rights Protection: A Comparison Between ASEAN Law and Islamic Law Principles. *Manchester Journal of Transnational Islamic Law and Practice*, 21(2), 210–224. Scopus.
- Ezzerouali, S., Arifin, R., & Banane, M. C. (2025). Can Moroccan Law Ensure Substantive Justice in Protecting Private Life from AI's Impact? *Substantive Justice International Journal of Law*, 8(1). Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.56087/substantivejustice.v8i1.333>
- Ge, X. (2025). Analysis of legal framework solutions to protect retail consumers. *International Journal of Retail and Distribution Management*, 53(2), 1–16. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJRDM-02-2023-0055>
- Ha, L. T. T. (2024). PERFORMANCE OF SALES CONTRACTS THROUGH E-COMMERCE PLATFORMS UNDER CHINESE LAW AND SUGGESTIONS FOR VIETNAM. *Revista Juridica*, 4(80), 525–549. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.26668/revistajur.2316-753X.v4i80.7674>
- Haq, M. Z. (2024). Is Bangladesh's policy response to the growing e-commerce sector compatible with its commitment to fight predicate offences for money laundering? *Journal of Money Laundering Control*, 27(3), 520–531. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JMLC-01-2023-0023>
- Idem, U. J., Olarinde, E. S., Anwana, E. O., Awoyemi, B. O., & Obieze, I. D.-D. (2024). Exploring the Legal Framework for E-Commerce in Nigeria with Insights from UK and Malaysia. *Int. Conf. Decis. Aid Sci. Appl., DASA*. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1109/DASA63652.2024.10836264>
- Jebarah, S. A. (2025). Consumer Rights in the Digital Economy: Regulatory Challenges in E-Commerce under Oman's Consumer Protection Law. *World Journal of Entrepreneurship, Management and Sustainable Development*, 21(3), 267–283. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.47556/J.WJEMSD.21.3.2025.5>

- Jovanovic, E., Jančić, S., & Jovanović, M. (2025). Application of Artificial Intelligence in E-commerce Through Advanced E-marketing Strategies. Dalam N. Zdravkovic, D. Domazet, S. Lopez-Pernas, M. A. Conde, & P. Vijayakumar (Ed.), *CEUR Workshop Proc.* (Vol. 3971, hlm. 113–122). CEUR-WS; Scopus. <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-105007775175&partnerID=40&md5=bd1af64dff283e19a9441c3634ba41aa>
- Kaya, S., & Şahin-Şengül, E. (2025). Consumer ADR in the EU. Dalam *Consumer Alternative Dispute Resolut. In Emerg. Economies* (hlm. 6–24). Taylor and Francis; Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781032689739-2>
- Mohamad, A., Mohd Angsor, M. A., Mohd Adi, M. N., & Jia Min, A. T. (2025). Malaysia's e-commerce landscape: Legal structures and operational hurdles. Dalam L. Abdullah (Ed.), *Proc SPIE Int Soc Opt Eng* (Vol. 13631). SPIE; Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1117/12.3059023>
- Ngcobo, M. T. (2024). Artificial Intelligence and Blockchain Technologies in Online Dispute Resolution: A Solution to Consumer Disputes in South Africa? *Potchefstroom Electronic Law Journal*, 27. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.17159/1727-3781/2024/v27i0a14648>
- Patel, A., Ranjan, R., Kumar, R. K., Ojha, N., & Patel, A. (2025). Online dispute resolution mechanism as an effective tool for resolving cross-border consumer disputes in the era of E-commerce. *International Journal of Law and Management*. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJLMA-07-2024-0245>
- Pflücke, F. (2024). Compliance with European consumer law: The Case of E-commerce. Dalam *Compliance with Eur. Consum. Law: The Case of E-Commer.* (hlm. 256). Oxford University Press; Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1093/9780198906414.001.0001>
- Pozhodzhuk, R., Duliba, Y., Mosondz, S., Fast, O., Holovachova, A., & Igonin, R. (2025). E-PHARMACIES AND CHALLENGES FOR CONSUMER PROTECTION. *International Journal of Ecosystems and Ecology Science*, 15(4), 109–114. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.31407/ijeess15.414>
- Puri, S., & Kapoor, R. (2025). Evaluative Study of Consumer Protection Act with Reference to E-Commerce Transactions. Dalam *Account. Finance sustain. Gov. Fraud: Vol. Part F1117* (hlm. 121–126). Springer Nature; Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-95-3361-9_10
- Risal, A. (2024). Legal Protection for Debtors in Online Transactions: Evaluating Safeguards in E-Commerce. *Jurnal Hukum Bisnis Bonum Commune*, 7(2), 176–187. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.30996/jhbbc.v7i2.11656>
- Seo, H. (2025). Civil Liability of E-Commerce Platform Operators in Korea1 (Focusing on the P2C Relations). *Yuridika*, 40(2), 171–198. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.20473/ydk.v40i2.55766>
- Shair, V., Bhalla, S., & Kapoor, D. (2025). Navigating Consumer Victimization in E-Commerce Grocery Platforms: Challenges, Impacts, and Protective Strategies. Dalam *Fundamentals of Forensic Victimology: Insights into Crime, Trauma, and Justice* (hlm. 59–65). Springer Science+Business Media; Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-95987-5_6
- Singh, N., Do, Y., Yu, Y., Fouad, I., Kim, J., & Kim, H. (2025). Crumbled Cookies: Exploring E-commerce Websites' Cookie Policies with Data Protection Regulations. *ACM Transactions on the Web*, 19(1). Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3708515>
- Subagyono, B. S. A., Romadhona, M. K., Chumaida, Z. V., Suheryadi, B., & Elkhasha, N. S. (2024). Can Indonesia's Laws Keep Up? Protecting Consumer Rights in Digital Transactions. *Journal of Law and Legal Reform*, 5(3), 869–890. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.15294/jllr.v5i3.4202>
- Thiébaud, R. (2024). Advancing regional cooperation within AfCFTA through an integrated cross-border e-commerce system. *South African Journal of International Affairs*, 31(1), 45–68. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10220461.2024.2352037>
- Vishwakarma, S., & Goyal, K. (2025). Data Security and Consumer Trust: A Legal Examination of Online Consumer Protection Regulations in the Indian Context. Dalam *Account. Finance sustain. Gov. Fraud: Vol. Part F1117* (hlm. 29–41). Springer Nature; Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-95-3361-9_3

Wagner, G. (2024). Next Generation EU Product Liability For Digital and Other Products. *Journal of European Tort Law*, 15(2), 172–224. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1515/jetl-2024-0011>

Copyright Holder :

© Agus Satory et al. (2025).

First Publication Right :

© Rechtsnormen Journal of Law

This article is under:

