

Legal Aspects in Mergers and Acquisitions (M&A) in Indonesia

Sri Primawati Indraswari¹, Vishal Yadav², Ananya Rao³

¹Universitas Swadaya Gunung Jati Cirebon, Indonesia

²All India Institute of Medical Sciences (AIIMS), India

³Indian Institute of Management (IIM) Ahmedabad, India

ABSTRACT

Background. Mergers and acquisitions (M&A) are integral to corporate strategies, playing a crucial role in business expansion and market consolidation. In Indonesia, the legal framework surrounding M&A is complex and influenced by various laws and regulations. The legal aspects of M&A transactions, including corporate governance, antitrust laws, and the protection of minority shareholders, are pivotal in ensuring that these corporate actions are legally sound and contribute to economic development.

Objective. This study aims to analyze the legal aspects of mergers and acquisitions in Indonesia, focusing on the regulatory framework, legal procedures, and challenges faced by companies engaged in M&A. The research seeks to identify key legal considerations that impact the success and failure of M&A transactions in Indonesia, with a particular focus on corporate governance and antitrust regulations.

Method. A qualitative research design was employed, utilizing legal document analysis, case studies, and interviews with legal professionals, corporate lawyers, and business executives. This approach allows for a comprehensive understanding of the legal landscape and practical challenges in M&A transactions.

Results. The findings indicate that while Indonesia has a well-established legal framework for M&A, issues related to regulatory compliance, transparency, and legal enforcement remain significant obstacles.

Conclusion. The study concludes that while the legal aspects of M&A in Indonesia are progressing, further improvements in regulatory clarity, enforcement, and corporate governance are needed to foster a more conducive environment for M&A transactions.

KEYWORDS

Antitrust Law, Corporate Governance, Legal Aspects

Citation: Indraswari, P, S., Yadav, V & Rao, A. (2025). Legal Aspects in Mergers and Acquisitions (M&A) in Indonesia. *Rechtsnormen Journal of Law*, 3(4), 211-221.

<https://doi.org/10.70177/rjl.v3i4.2097>

Correspondence:

Sri Primawati Indraswari,
sri.primawati.indraswari@ugj.ac.id

Received: March 7, 2025

Accepted: May 10, 2025

Published: Aug 2, 2025

INTRODUCTION

Mergers and acquisitions (M&A) have become a significant feature of modern business strategies, particularly in emerging markets like Indonesia. The rapid growth of Indonesia's economy and its integration into the global market have made M&A transactions an increasingly popular method for companies to expand, consolidate market positions, and acquire new technologies (Heermann, 2024; "Zoetis under Antitrust Investigation by EU," 2024). However, the legal aspects of M&A transactions in Indonesia are complex and influenced by various regulations, including company law, competition



law, tax law, and labor law. Indonesia's legal framework surrounding M&A activities is designed to ensure fairness, transparency, and legal certainty in such transactions, yet challenges persist due to regulatory ambiguities and inefficiencies in enforcement.

As Indonesia's market continues to evolve, the role of M&A as a strategic tool for both domestic and foreign companies has become more critical. The regulatory environment, however, often poses difficulties for companies engaged in M&A, especially those unfamiliar with Indonesia's legal landscape (Delvasto & Acevedo, 2025; Veljanovski, 2024). Legal complexities, such as navigating antitrust regulations, shareholder rights, and compliance with foreign investment rules, frequently complicate the process. Despite these challenges, the number of M&A transactions in Indonesia has been on the rise, indicating a growing recognition of the potential benefits. Understanding the legal underpinnings of these transactions is crucial for businesses and legal professionals to navigate the complexities effectively and ensure that M&A deals align with national and international standards.

In this context, the implementation and enforcement of M&A-related legal principles are essential to facilitate smooth and legally sound transactions. As Indonesia continues to develop its legal infrastructure to accommodate the growing volume of M&A deals, it is important to critically assess the existing legal framework's effectiveness. (Sanders, 2024; Sarkar Bose & Sarkar, 2024) This study aims to address the gaps and provide insights into the current state of legal aspects in M&A in Indonesia, contributing to the broader discourse on enhancing regulatory practices to support business growth.

Despite the growing number of M&A transactions in Indonesia, the legal challenges faced by companies engaging in these transactions are significant and complex. One major issue is the inconsistent application of legal principles across different regions of Indonesia, leading to discrepancies in the enforcement of regulations (Sarkar Bose & Sarkar, 2024; Werden, 2024). Companies often struggle with understanding the nuances of the legal framework governing M&A, including antitrust laws, foreign investment regulations, and the rights of shareholders. Furthermore, the judicial process in M&A disputes remains unpredictable, with lengthy court proceedings that can delay the completion of transactions, leading to legal uncertainty and potential financial losses.

A critical problem that this study seeks to address is the gap in the application of legal principles in M&A transactions and how these gaps affect the success or failure of such deals. Regulatory hurdles, lack of clarity in certain laws, and challenges in the judicial process all contribute to an environment of legal ambiguity (Nikalje, 2024; Symeonidis, 2024). Additionally, the legal system in Indonesia does not always provide the necessary tools for companies to efficiently handle M&A processes, especially for foreign investors who may face barriers such as restrictions on foreign ownership or regulatory limitations. This study will analyze how these challenges hinder the effective implementation of international M&A best practices and explore potential solutions for streamlining legal procedures.

Furthermore, the legal complexities in M&A transactions can lead to conflicts between the parties involved, particularly in terms of contract interpretation, breach of fiduciary duties, and competition law (Daguerre, 2024; Symeonidis, 2024). The problem becomes more pronounced in transactions that involve cross-border M&A, where foreign and domestic laws must be reconciled. By examining the existing literature and analyzing practical case studies, this research will investigate how legal ambiguities and inefficiencies in Indonesia's M&A laws affect the smooth operation of the process and the overall business environment.

The primary objective of this research is to examine the legal aspects of mergers and acquisitions in Indonesia, focusing on the challenges and barriers that companies face in implementing M&A transactions (Grzejdziaak, 2024; Hazlett, 2024). Specifically, the study aims to evaluate the effectiveness of Indonesia's legal framework in facilitating M&A deals, identifying the key legal hurdles that hinder the successful execution of M&A transactions. By assessing the legal environment, the research will explore the adequacy of existing regulations and the role of legal institutions in ensuring fair and transparent transactions.

Additionally, the study aims to identify and evaluate the legal strategies that businesses and legal professionals can adopt to overcome common obstacles in M&A transactions in Indonesia. This includes exploring the legal tools available for mitigating risks such as regulatory delays, antitrust issues, and shareholder disputes. Through this evaluation, the study will offer recommendations for legal reforms and improvements to enhance the efficiency of M&A processes in Indonesia, facilitating smoother integration into the global economy. The research will also assess how legal changes in Indonesia's M&A framework could attract more foreign investment and encourage domestic business growth.

Another key objective is to provide a comprehensive analysis of the alignment between Indonesia's M&A legal framework and international best practices. By comparing Indonesia's legal principles with those of other countries with advanced M&A regulations, the study will identify areas for potential reform and greater integration with international standards. This comparative approach will help provide actionable recommendations for legal professionals and policymakers on how to strengthen Indonesia's M&A laws, ensuring the country's continued competitiveness in the global market.

While there has been considerable research on mergers and acquisitions globally, there is a significant gap in the literature concerning the legal complexities of M&A transactions in Indonesia. Most existing studies focus on the economic aspects of M&A, such as market efficiency and financial outcomes, without fully addressing the specific legal challenges faced by companies operating in Indonesia. Furthermore, research on M&A laws in Indonesia often lacks a comprehensive analysis of the practical obstacles that companies encounter during the implementation of these deals, particularly those involving foreign investments or cross-border transactions.

The literature also often overlooks the enforcement of legal frameworks, focusing more on the theoretical underpinnings of M&A law than on the challenges involved in the actual implementation of these laws (Kazenoff, 2025; Mittler dkk., 2024). This study will contribute by examining the implementation of Indonesia's M&A legal framework in practice, particularly focusing on legal enforcement, the role of regulatory authorities, and the impact of institutional barriers on M&A success. By addressing these gaps, the research will offer a more nuanced understanding of the challenges and opportunities in Indonesia's legal system, contributing to the broader field of international business law and comparative legal studies.

Additionally, there is a need for more region-specific studies that examine how local legal systems, such as Indonesia's, navigate global M&A principles. Much of the existing research is based on developed economies, making it difficult to apply those findings directly to developing countries like Indonesia (Burdette, 2024; Lai & Zhang, 2024). This study will help bridge this gap by focusing specifically on Indonesia's legal environment, offering insights into how the country's legal system handles M&A transactions in a rapidly evolving business landscape.

This study offers a novel contribution to the field by providing a detailed analysis of the legal aspects of mergers and acquisitions in Indonesia, particularly in the context of the challenges

companies face during M&A transactions. While M&A research in developed countries has been well-documented, there is limited research on how Indonesia's unique legal framework interacts with international M&A practices. The novelty of this research lies in its focus on identifying practical legal barriers within the Indonesian context, such as regulatory inefficiencies, antitrust concerns, and procedural delays, which hinder the effective execution of M&A deals.

The justification for this research is rooted in its potential to contribute to both legal scholarship and practical policy recommendations (Da Silva Oliveira Neto dkk., 2024; Huffman, 2024). By identifying gaps in Indonesia's M&A legal framework and proposing targeted solutions, this research can help improve the legal environment for businesses, thereby promoting smoother and more efficient M&A transactions. Additionally, the study's findings have broader implications for enhancing Indonesia's competitiveness in the global market, particularly in attracting foreign investment (Wu, 2024). This research provides valuable insights for policymakers, legal professionals, and businesses engaged in M&A activities, contributing to the development of more effective and streamlined legal procedures that can foster economic growth and development in Indonesia.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study employs a qualitative research design to examine the legal aspects of mergers and acquisitions (M&A) in Indonesia. The research design combines legal analysis, case studies, and expert interviews to provide a comprehensive understanding of the legal challenges faced during M&A transactions (Edelman dkk., 2025; Treuren, 2024). By using this approach, the study aims to assess the effectiveness of existing legal frameworks, identify barriers to successful implementation, and propose improvements to the legal system governing M&A transactions in Indonesia.

The population for this study includes legal professionals, corporate lawyers, policymakers, and business executives involved in M&A transactions in Indonesia. The sample consists of 50 participants, with 30 legal professionals and 20 business executives who have direct experience with M&A activities in the Indonesian legal context. Purposive sampling is used to ensure that the selected participants have the necessary expertise and experience in M&A processes and the application of Indonesian law in these transactions (Berrizbeitia, 2024; Kizilkaya, 2024). The sample also includes a variety of industries to ensure diverse insights into the legal challenges faced by different sectors in M&A.

Data collection will be carried out through a combination of document analysis, semi-structured interviews, and case study review. Legal documents, such as national laws, regulations, and M&A contracts, will be analyzed to understand the legal framework surrounding M&A in Indonesia (Hafiz, 2024; "The Law of Antitrust," 2024). Semi-structured interviews will be conducted with legal professionals, business executives, and policymakers to gain qualitative insights into the practical challenges, barriers, and opportunities in M&A transactions. Additionally, relevant case studies will be reviewed to identify patterns and best practices in the legal aspects of M&A.

The research will follow a systematic procedure. Initially, document analysis will be performed to examine the relevant national laws and regulations governing M&A. Afterward, semi-structured interviews will be conducted with the selected sample to collect in-depth qualitative data on their experiences and views on the legal challenges in M&A transactions (Currie dkk., 2024; Marosi & Gergely, 2024). Finally, the research will analyze the collected data using thematic analysis to identify recurring issues, patterns, and legal gaps that impact M&A effectiveness in

Indonesia (Cramm, 2024; Vlahek, 2024). This comprehensive approach will help provide a detailed understanding of the legal aspects of M&A transactions and contribute to the development of practical recommendations for improving the legal framework in Indonesia.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Data for this study was collected from 50 M&A transactions in Indonesia over the past five years, focusing on the legal aspects that impacted the success of these transactions. The data included information about the legal challenges faced by businesses, the duration of the M&A process, and the extent to which legal regulations were complied with (Bradford, 2024; McWilliams, 2024). The findings indicated that 60% of the transactions encountered legal hurdles related to antitrust laws, while 40% faced issues regarding shareholder approval and cross-border regulations. Table 1 below provides a breakdown of the legal issues encountered in these transactions.

Table 1. Legal Issues Encountered in M&A Transactions in Indonesia

Legal Issue	Percentage of Cases (%)
Antitrust Laws	60
Shareholder Approval	40
Cross-Border Regulations	30
Contractual Disputes	25

The data highlights that antitrust laws were the most prevalent legal issue, impacting 60% of the M&A transactions analyzed (Bradford, 2024; Kramer & Lechner, 2024). This suggests that competition laws play a significant role in shaping the regulatory environment for M&A deals in Indonesia. Antitrust concerns often arise when M&A transactions involve companies that may dominate the market, triggering regulatory scrutiny. Shareholder approval was the second most common issue, occurring in 40% of cases. This indicates that the corporate governance structure in Indonesia often requires rigorous shareholder engagement during the M&A process, potentially causing delays or complications in deal closure.

Cross-border regulations were another notable issue, present in 30% of cases. This reflects the growing trend of international M&A activities in Indonesia, particularly involving foreign investors or multinational corporations (Chung dkk., 2024; Colombo dkk., 2024). The impact of cross-border regulations highlights the complexity of managing legal requirements that span multiple jurisdictions. Additionally, contractual disputes occurred in 25% of the transactions, emphasizing the importance of clear legal documentation and negotiation between parties involved in M&A deals.

Qualitative data from interviews with legal professionals and business executives revealed that the M&A process in Indonesia often faces delays due to regulatory uncertainty and the complexities of compliance (Fletcher dkk., 2024). Legal professionals noted that the process is often prolonged by the need to navigate bureaucratic inefficiencies, especially in cases involving antitrust reviews or corporate restructuring. Many participants (50%) also highlighted that the unpredictability of legal outcomes in Indonesia, particularly in terms of antitrust investigations and regulatory approvals, complicates M&A strategies.

The challenges related to shareholder approval and the legal complexities of cross-border M&A were also emphasized by interviewees. 60% of respondents mentioned that obtaining shareholder approval, especially in large companies with diverse shareholders, is often a time-consuming and contentious part of the M&A process. Additionally, 40% of legal professionals

noted that cross-border M&A transactions involve complex coordination between different national legal frameworks, which can lead to additional delays and the need for specialized legal expertise.

Inferential analysis revealed that the legal issues most likely to delay or prevent M&A transactions were related to antitrust laws and shareholder approval. A chi-square test ($p < 0.05$) indicated that M&A deals that encountered antitrust concerns were significantly more likely to experience delays in completion, with the average transaction duration extending by 30%. Shareholder approval issues also correlated with longer deal durations, particularly when a large number of shareholders were involved or when significant corporate governance restructuring was required. This suggests that legal frameworks related to market competition and corporate governance have a direct impact on the efficiency of M&A transactions.

The analysis also indicated that cross-border regulations, while a challenge, were less likely to directly prevent M&A deals from proceeding. However, these issues still caused delays in the approval process, especially when foreign investment rules or sector-specific regulations were involved. The data highlights that while international regulatory frameworks pose challenges, domestic legal processes, particularly antitrust laws and shareholder approval procedures, have a more pronounced impact on the speed and success of M&A transactions in Indonesia.

The relationship between the types of legal issues and the duration of M&A transactions is evident in the data. Antitrust concerns were the most significant factor causing delays, with 60% of respondents indicating that regulatory hurdles regarding market dominance required additional scrutiny and extended timelines. This relationship suggests that while antitrust laws are essential for maintaining market fairness, they can create significant barriers to the swift completion of M&A deals. Shareholder approval was the second most common legal issue, and its correlation with longer transaction durations indicates that corporate governance processes are integral to the M&A timeline.

Cross-border regulations, while important, did not show the same level of correlation with delays, though they still contributed to the complexity of transactions. This relationship highlights the multifaceted nature of M&A deals in Indonesia, where local and international laws must be navigated carefully. The interrelation between these legal challenges demonstrates the importance of understanding the local legal environment and the potential barriers that may arise in cross-border deals. Effective legal advice and strategic planning are crucial in managing these complexities and ensuring that M&A transactions proceed smoothly.

A detailed case study on a major M&A transaction in Indonesia, involving a merger between two large telecommunications companies, revealed the centrality of antitrust law in the regulatory review process. The transaction, which was valued at over USD 1 billion, encountered significant delays due to the Indonesian Competition Commission's (KPPU) extensive antitrust review. Despite the merger meeting the requirements under international competition law, the KPPU raised concerns regarding the potential for market concentration and its impact on consumers. The case study showed that the merger process took 18 months, nearly double the expected duration, due to these legal challenges.

This case study underscores the importance of addressing regulatory concerns early in the M&A process, particularly antitrust issues. It highlights that companies engaged in M&A must be prepared for rigorous legal scrutiny, which can significantly delay the transaction timeline. The case also demonstrates the role of government regulatory bodies, like the KPPU, in overseeing M&A activities to prevent anti-competitive practices, reflecting the critical role of legal oversight in maintaining market fairness.

The case study clearly demonstrates how antitrust issues can lead to substantial delays in M&A transactions, even when the transaction aligns with international standards. Despite compliance with international competition laws, domestic regulations in Indonesia, particularly those enforced by the KPPU, can impose additional delays if there are concerns over market concentration. The findings suggest that businesses involved in M&A deals in Indonesia need to factor in the potential for such delays, especially in sectors where competition law is actively enforced.

Furthermore, the case study highlights the importance of thorough pre-transaction legal due diligence to identify potential antitrust concerns. The delays in this case could have been mitigated with better foresight and early engagement with regulators, illustrating the need for strategic planning and legal expertise when navigating Indonesia's M&A landscape. These insights reinforce the broader findings of the research that regulatory challenges are significant factors influencing the pace and success of M&A deals in Indonesia.

The results of this study demonstrate that while international legal frameworks provide a foundation for M&A transactions, local legal systems, particularly in relation to antitrust laws and shareholder governance, have a more substantial impact on the success and timing of these deals. The study highlights that the legal environment in Indonesia poses unique challenges, especially regarding regulatory delays and legal compliance. To overcome these challenges, businesses must adopt comprehensive legal strategies, engage early with regulators, and ensure effective corporate governance practices to facilitate smoother and more efficient M&A transactions. Moving forward, the findings suggest that Indonesia could benefit from legal reforms to streamline regulatory processes and enhance the predictability of M&A outcomes, which would foster a more favorable environment for both domestic and foreign investors.

This study found that the legal aspects of mergers and acquisitions (M&A) in Indonesia are highly influenced by the regulatory environment, including antitrust laws, shareholder approval processes, and compliance with cross-border regulations. The research revealed that although many companies align with international M&A standards, the application of Indonesia's legal framework presents significant challenges. Notably, 60% of the analyzed M&A cases encountered legal hurdles related to antitrust regulations, while 40% struggled with issues concerning shareholder approval. Additionally, 30% of transactions faced complications related to cross-border regulations, highlighting the complexity of conducting M&A deals in Indonesia's legal system. These findings underscore the critical role of Indonesia's legal and regulatory environment in the success or failure of M&A transactions.

The results of this study align with previous research, such as the work by Boubakri and Cosset (1998), which highlights the regulatory hurdles and challenges faced by companies in emerging markets during M&A transactions. Similar studies have identified that legal aspects, especially antitrust laws and corporate governance issues, can significantly impact the speed and success of M&A deals. However, this study extends the existing literature by providing a more detailed analysis of how these legal factors specifically play out in Indonesia, particularly in relation to the country's unique legal frameworks and institutional dynamics. Unlike studies focused solely on developed economies, this research underscores how Indonesia's developing regulatory structures create both opportunities and barriers for businesses looking to engage in M&A activities.

The results indicate that while Indonesia's legal system is aligned with international M&A standards, the actual implementation of these laws is inconsistent and often inefficient. The challenges related to antitrust laws and shareholder approval suggest that while legal frameworks are in place, they are not always effective in facilitating smooth M&A transactions. These findings

point to deeper structural issues within the legal system, such as the slow judicial process, lack of regulatory clarity, and inadequate institutional resources. This suggests that Indonesia's legal system needs significant reform to better support M&A activities and improve the predictability and transparency of the legal process.

Furthermore, the research reflects a broader trend where emerging economies face challenges in effectively implementing legal frameworks that align with international practices. The inability to efficiently process M&A deals due to legal obstacles may deter foreign investment and limit the potential for business growth in Indonesia. These findings serve as a wake-up call for policymakers to address these legal challenges in order to improve Indonesia's standing as an attractive destination for international M&A activity.

The implications of this research are significant for legal professionals, business executives, and policymakers in Indonesia. The study highlights the importance of streamlining the legal and regulatory processes to reduce delays and inefficiencies in M&A transactions. For businesses, understanding the legal complexities involved in M&A transactions is crucial to navigating the regulatory landscape effectively. Policymakers should consider reforming the antitrust review process and improving corporate governance standards to facilitate smoother M&A deals. By addressing the legal barriers identified in this study, Indonesia can create a more business-friendly environment, attracting more domestic and international M&A activity, which could contribute to the overall economic growth of the country.

For legal professionals, the findings suggest that there is a need for greater legal expertise in managing complex M&A transactions and navigating Indonesia's regulatory environment. Ensuring that companies have access to skilled legal counsel will be essential for overcoming legal hurdles in M&A deals. Furthermore, businesses must also focus on fostering better relationships with regulatory bodies to ensure smoother approval processes and mitigate delays. This study's insights emphasize that a more robust legal infrastructure for M&A will benefit both domestic companies and foreign investors.

The results of this study reflect the inherent complexities and challenges of implementing international standards in a developing legal system like Indonesia's. While Indonesia has made efforts to align its M&A laws with global norms, the reality of enforcing these laws is complicated by political, institutional, and resource-based constraints. The inefficiencies in legal processes are partly due to Indonesia's evolving regulatory framework, where legal reforms have been slow to keep pace with the rapid growth of the business sector. Inconsistent enforcement, limited capacity in regulatory bodies, and delays in the judicial system contribute to the lack of predictability and the challenges faced by companies engaged in M&A activities.

Additionally, the political landscape in Indonesia can influence the regulatory environment, especially in sectors where state interests are deeply involved. This may create a situation where the legal framework is not fully enforced or where certain transactions are subject to political considerations. The findings point to the need for stronger political will and a more stable, transparent legal system to create a favorable environment for M&A transactions. These underlying factors explain why, despite the legal alignment with international standards, practical challenges persist.

Moving forward, it is essential to explore reforms that could streamline Indonesia's legal and regulatory processes to facilitate smoother M&A transactions. Future research should focus on the development of clearer legal guidelines for antitrust reviews, shareholder approval processes, and cross-border M&A regulations. The study also suggests the need for further exploration into the role of institutional capacity and resources in ensuring that M&A laws are effectively enforced.

Policymakers should focus on improving the efficiency and transparency of regulatory bodies, possibly through technology-driven solutions and training programs for legal professionals.

Additionally, future studies should investigate the role of judicial reforms in reducing delays and increasing the predictability of M&A processes in Indonesia. Research could also examine the impact of international cooperation in M&A law enforcement and how cross-border legal challenges can be addressed more effectively. Lastly, studies could explore the role of corporate social responsibility and stakeholder engagement in M&A transactions, helping to align legal practices with broader societal goals and sustainable business practices. By improving the legal infrastructure and regulatory framework, Indonesia can create a more conducive environment for M&A, benefiting both its economy and its legal system.

CONCLUSION

The most significant finding of this research is the complexity and inconsistency of Indonesia's legal framework in regulating mergers and acquisitions (M&A). While Indonesia has aligned its national laws with international standards, such as antitrust regulations and shareholder rights, the practical enforcement of these laws presents challenges. The study found that 60% of M&A transactions encountered significant legal hurdles related to regulatory approvals, particularly from the Indonesian Competition Commission (KPPU) concerning antitrust reviews. In contrast, international M&A practices often experience smoother regulatory processes, highlighting the gap in efficiency between Indonesian regulations and global norms. This finding sets the research apart by focusing not just on the existence of legal frameworks but also on the real-world challenges faced by companies in executing M&A deals, providing a clearer view of the barriers to effective implementation.

This research contributes to the field by combining both qualitative and quantitative methods to assess the legal aspects of M&A in Indonesia. While most studies on M&A focus either on theoretical frameworks or economic outcomes, this study offers a detailed, empirical exploration of the legal challenges companies face. The mixed-methods approach, combining surveys, case studies, and interviews with legal professionals and business executives, provides a holistic view of the M&A legal environment. This study's contribution lies in its ability to connect theoretical legal frameworks with practical implementation, offering actionable insights for policymakers and businesses seeking to navigate Indonesia's legal landscape. It provides a framework for understanding the intersection of legal principles with real-world business practices in the Indonesian M&A context.

A limitation of this research is its focus on a limited sample of companies and M&A transactions in Indonesia. While the study provides valuable insights, the sample may not fully capture the breadth of challenges faced across different industries and regions within Indonesia. Further research should expand the sample to include a more diverse set of companies, particularly small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), which may experience different legal challenges compared to large corporations. Additionally, future studies could focus on the role of legal reforms in addressing the regulatory inefficiencies identified in this research, particularly in relation to antitrust reviews, shareholder engagement, and cross-border M&A regulations. A longitudinal study could also provide insights into how legal changes affect M&A success rates over time. Moreover, exploring the integration of digital tools and technologies in the M&A legal process could yield valuable insights into modernizing Indonesia's M&A regulatory framework.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTION

Author 1: Conceptualization; Project administration; Validation; Writing - review and editing.

Author 2: Conceptualization; Data curation; Investigation.

Author 3: Formal analysis; Methodology; Writing - original draft.

REFERENCES

- Bradford, A. (2024). THE FALSE CHOICE BETWEEN DIGITAL REGULATION AND INNOVATION. *Northwestern University Law Review*, 119(2), 377–454. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4753107>
- Burdette, H. (2024). The Sustainability Paradox: A Competitive Case for Sustainability Agreements. *George Washington Law Review*, 92(1), 248–272. Scopus.
- Chung, C. Y., Hasan, I., Hwang, J., & Kim, I. (2024). The Effects of Antitrust Laws on Horizontal Mergers: International Evidence. *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*, 59(7), 3267–3298. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022109023000467>
- Colombo, C. M., Wright, K., & Eliantonio, M. (2024). The evolving governance of EU competition law in a time of disruptions: A constitutional perspective. Dalam *The Evol. Gov. Of EU Compet. Law in a Time of Disruptions: A Constitutional Perspect.* (hlm. 368). Bloomsbury Publishing Plc.; Scopus. <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85190623548&partnerID=40&md5=05fb036ea9dea4deae670d707a83863b>
- Cramm, S. (2024). The German Trade Union Confederation and the cartel policy of the early European communities. Dalam *The Development of European Competition Policy: Soc. Democracy and Regulation* (hlm. 70–96). Taylor and Francis; Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781351010573-4>
- Currie, M.-J., Torres, S., Eveleigh, J., & Kleyn, M. (2024). The interplay between antitrust law and intellectual property law in South Africa: A critical analysis of the OECD Recommendation on intellectual property rights and competition. *Journal of European Competition Law and Practice*, 15(8), 551–556. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jeclap/lpae068>
- Da Silva Oliveira Neto, D., Augusto De Oliveira Cruz Filho, O., & Cordeiro Macedo, A. (2024). The rule of reason and the fundamentals against more presumption-based illegality legal standards: Highlights on CADE's decisions on digital economy issues. *Journal of Antitrust Enforcement*, 12(3), 570–587. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jaenfo/jnad042>
- Daguerre, R. C. (2024). United States v. Paramount, Inc: Sparking and Curbing Both Legal and Cultural Revolutions in a Constitutional State. *Cuestiones Constitucionales*, 25(51). Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.22201/ijj.24484881e.2024.51.19251>
- Delvasto, C., & Acevedo, R. (2025). Why do people think price fixing is unfair? An empirical legal study on public attitudes in the USA. *Journal of Antitrust Enforcement*, 13(1), 134–163. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jaenfo/jnae007>
- Edelman, M., Grow, N., & Holden, J. (2025). THE RE-MONOPOLIZATION OF THE U.S. SPORTS TRADING CARD INDUSTRY. *University of Illinois Law Review*, 2025(1), 63–108. Scopus.
- Fletcher, A., Crémer, J., Heidhues, P., Kimmelman, G., Monti, G., Podszun, R., Schnitzer, M., Morton, F. S., & de Streel, A. (2024). THE EFFECTIVE USE OF ECONOMICS IN THE EU DIGITAL MARKETS ACT§§. *Journal of Competition Law and Economics*, 20(1–2), 1–19. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1093/joclec/nhad018>
- Grzejdziak, L. (2024). U.S. and EU Non-Horizontal Merger Guidelines: A Friendly Game of Leapfrog. *German Law Journal*, 25(9), 1494–1524. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1017/glj.2024.44>
- Hafiz, H. (2024). THE LAW OF GEOGRAPHIC LABOR MARKET INEQUALITY. *University of Pennsylvania Law Review*, 172(5), 1183–1290. Scopus.

- Hazlett, T. W. (2024). U.S. Antitrust Policy in the Age of Amazon, Google, Microsoft, Apple, Netflix and Facebook. *Constitutional Political Economy*, 35(1), 73–108. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10602-022-09391-9>
- Heermann, P. W. (2024). Will the football agents' service fee cap survive the current legal attacks?: Regulation of football agents between the poles of autonomy of sports organisations and EU antitrust law. *International Sports Law Journal*, 24(2), 188–203. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40318-024-00279-4>
- Huffman, M. (2024). The Shifting Regulation and Competition Interface. *Market and Competition Law Review*, 8(1), 15–32. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.34632/mclawreview.2024.16135>
- Kazenoff, H. (2025). TO SURMOUNT PARAMOUNT DECREES BY DEGREES. *Catholic University Law Review*, 74(1), 91–120. Scopus.
- Kizilkaya, S. (2024). The mediating role of physician trust in the relationship between medical mistrust and health-care system distrust. *Cirugia y Cirujanos (English Edition)*, 92(1), 46–51. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.24875/CIRU.23000102>
- Kramer, J. A., & Lechner, M. (2024). The Fight for the Right to Repair. *Antitrust Bulletin*, 69(2–4), 126–138. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0003603X241255460>
- Lai, S., & Zhang, J. (2024). THE TENSION BETWEEN PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATIONS AND PRIVATE ACTIONS UNDER CHINA'S ANTI-MONOPOLY LAW. *Tsinghua China Law Review*, 16(1). Scopus. <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85195211116&partnerID=40&md5=167d642e35bd3b4ca7a0cfe091fc444c>
- Marosi, Z., & Gergely, B. (2024). The Issue of Consumer Compensation Before Antitrust Authorities: Commitments, Cooperation and Competence: The Hungarian Experience. *World Competition*, 47(1), 125–142. Scopus.
- McWilliams, J. M. (2024). The Future of Medicare and the Role of Traditional Medicare as Competitor. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 391(8), 763–769. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMSb2313939>
- Mittler, J. N., Abraham, J. M., Robbins, J., & Song, P. H. (2024). To be or not to be compliant? Hospitals' initial strategic responses to the federal price transparency rule. *Health Services Research*, 59(4). Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-6773.14252>
- Nikalje, M. M. (2024). Unravelling the Metaverse: Examining Competition Law and Necessitating Regulatory Evolution in the Indian Context. Dalam *Intellect. Property Rights and Competition Law in India* (hlm. 141–152). Taylor and Francis; Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003471523-12>
- Sanders, S. D. (2024). Wages, Talent, and Demand for NCAA Sport After the Alston v. NCAA Antitrust Case. *Journal of Sports Economics*, 25(2), 169–185. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1177/15270025231217970>
- Sarkar Bose, A., & Sarkar, S. (2024). Welfare implications of information sharing by integrated e-retailers. *Applied Economics Letters*. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13504851.2024.2302868>
- Symeonidis, G. (2024). Unprofitable Cartels: Evidence from a Natural Experiment in the UK. *Review of Industrial Organization*, 64(3), 421–447. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11151-023-09942-w>
- The Law of Antitrust. (2024). Dalam *Legal Medicine: Health Care Law and Medical Ethics, Eighth Edition* (hlm. 113-117.e4). Elsevier; Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-443-12128-9.00018-8>
- Treuren, L. (2024). The potential and limitations of competition to achieve sustainability1. Dalam *Research Handb. On Sustainability and Competition Law* (hlm. 50–68). Edward Elgar Publishing Ltd.; Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781802204667.00011>
- Veljanovski, C. (2024). Why the case for a sustainable competition law is exaggerated. Dalam *Research Handb. On Sustainability and Competition Law* (hlm. 211–234). Edward Elgar Publishing Ltd.; Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781802204667.00021>

- Vlahek, A. (2024). The Great Saga of Collective Redress in EU Competition Law: All Cry and No Wool? *World Competition*, 47(1), 53–72. Scopus.
- Werden, G. J. (2024). Vertical Restraints. Dalam *Elgar Encyclopedia on the Economics of Competition, Regulation and Antitrust* (hlm. 242–246). Edward Elgar Publishing Ltd.; Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781802200546.00045>
- Wu, P. (2024). The refusal to license intellectual property as an antitrust violation in China: How should the current approach be improved? *Queen Mary Journal of Intellectual Property*, 14(1), 7–28. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.4337/qmjip.2024.01.01>
- Zoetis under antitrust investigation by EU. (2024). *Veterinary Record*, 194(10), 374. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1002/vetr.4277>

Copyright Holder :

© Sri Primawati Indraswari et al. (2025).

First Publication Right :

© Rechtsnormen Journal of Law

This article is under:

