

## The Role of Non-Governmental Organizations (NGOs) in Law Enforcement and Human Rights

Siska Karina<sup>1</sup>, Razia Khan<sup>2</sup>, Amir Raza<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Universitas Swadaya Gunung Jati Cirebon, Indonesia

<sup>2</sup>Paktia University, Afghanistan

<sup>3</sup>Badakhshan University, Afghanistan

### ABSTRACT

**Background.** Non-governmental organizations (NGOs) play a pivotal role in the realm of law enforcement and human rights. In recent decades, their influence has expanded significantly, especially in areas where government action is either insufficient or absent. NGOs often bridge gaps in legal and human rights protection by advocating for vulnerable populations, monitoring abuses, and holding governments accountable.

**Objective.** This study aims to evaluate the role of NGOs in law enforcement and human rights, with a particular focus on their contributions to legal reforms, advocacy for victims, and international collaborations. The research explores how NGOs influence law enforcement mechanisms and contribute to the advancement of human rights protections across different regions.

**Method.** A qualitative research design was employed, utilizing case studies, interviews with NGO leaders, legal experts, and human rights advocates, and document analysis of reports and legal frameworks where NGOs have had significant influence.

**Results.** The study found that NGOs significantly impact human rights law enforcement, especially in regions with weak legal frameworks. They provide essential resources for victims, influence policy changes, and sometimes fill gaps left by state institutions. However, challenges such as limited funding and political resistance affect their effectiveness.

**Conclusion.** NGOs are indispensable in promoting human rights and enforcing laws in under-served regions. Their role should be enhanced through stronger partnerships with governments and international organizations.

### KEYWORDS

Human Rights, Law Enforcement, Legal Reform

**Citation:** Karina, S., Khan, R & Raza, A. (2025). The Role of Non-Governmental Organizations (NGOs) in Law Enforcement and Human Rights. *Rechtsnormen Journal of Law*, 3(4), 200–210.

<https://doi.org/10.70177/rjl.v3i4.2094>

### Correspondence:

Siska Karina,  
[siskakarina18@gmail.com](mailto:siskakarina18@gmail.com)

**Received:** March 6, 2025

**Accepted:** May 9, 2025

**Published:** Aug 10, 2025

### INTRODUCTION

Non-governmental organizations (NGOs) have long played a critical role in promoting human rights and enforcing laws, particularly in regions where governmental structures are weak, underfunded, or corrupt (Ghosh, 2025; Seear & Mulcahy, 2025). These organizations, independent of state control, have become essential actors in the global human rights movement, engaging in advocacy, legal reform, humanitarian assistance, and monitoring the actions of governments and corporations. NGOs operate at various levels, from local community-based organizations to international organizations like Human Rights Watch



and Amnesty International (Georgi, 2025; Khoirunnisa dkk., 2025). They often fill gaps in state-provided services, providing resources for vulnerable populations, mobilizing public opinion, and pushing for legal reforms. With their focus on human rights protection, NGOs act as a vital counterbalance to power imbalances, often challenging state actors when human rights are violated.

The role of NGOs has evolved significantly over the last few decades, with an increasing involvement in law enforcement. While traditionally, law enforcement was the exclusive domain of state institutions, NGOs are now playing an important role in strengthening the enforcement of human rights laws. They monitor and document human rights abuses, advocate for victims, and help ensure accountability. In some regions, NGOs are involved directly in providing legal aid, assisting victims in navigating the justice system, and pushing for legal reforms (Georgi, 2025; Khoirunnisa dkk., 2025). Their work in law enforcement has become essential, particularly in contexts where state institutions fail to protect citizens or uphold human rights.

The growing role of NGOs in law enforcement and human rights calls for deeper understanding of their influence, challenges, and effectiveness. As NGOs continue to gain prominence, questions arise regarding their capacity to influence legal systems, the challenges they face, and the implications of their involvement in law enforcement (Kampourakis & Lane, 2025; Moskvych dkk., 2025). This research investigates the multifaceted role of NGOs in the realm of human rights and law enforcement, offering insights into their contributions to social justice.

While the importance of NGOs in the human rights field is widely acknowledged, the specifics of their role in law enforcement remain inadequately understood. There is limited research on how NGOs effectively contribute to the enforcement of human rights laws in practice, particularly within countries where state systems are weak (Chystiakova dkk., 2025; Kampourakis & Lane, 2025). The challenge lies in determining the extent to which NGOs can influence the legal frameworks and law enforcement mechanisms in such environments. Many NGOs operate in politically sensitive or dangerous contexts where their efforts to promote human rights and enforce laws may be met with resistance or even retaliation from governmental or non-state actors.

Furthermore, the effectiveness of NGOs in law enforcement is often limited by several factors, including insufficient resources, political opposition, lack of cooperation from state institutions, and the challenges of working in conflict zones or authoritarian regimes. Even in democratic countries, the relationship between NGOs and law enforcement agencies can be complicated by issues of trust, jurisdiction, and institutional capacity (Prasetyo dkk., 2025; Venkateswaran & Phillipose, 2025). The question, therefore, is not only how NGOs contribute to law enforcement, but also how their contributions can be sustained and enhanced in environments where their influence is limited by external and internal obstacles. This research seeks to address these gaps in knowledge, providing a clearer picture of the practical challenges NGOs face in the enforcement of human rights laws.

Moreover, the integration of NGOs in law enforcement raises concerns about accountability. While NGOs play a crucial role in advocating for human rights, their involvement in legal enforcement processes can complicate questions of legitimacy, as they are not formal legal authorities. The problem lies in determining the boundaries of NGO involvement in law enforcement, particularly in situations where their actions may conflict with state interests or legal procedures (Kurniawan dkk., 2025; Raj, 2025). This study aims to explore these complex issues and assess the impact of NGO involvement in law enforcement and human rights protection.

The main objective of this research is to assess the effectiveness of NGOs in law enforcement and human rights protection (Dienerowitz, 2025; Sommermann dkk., 2025). Specifically, the study aims to evaluate how NGOs contribute to enforcing human rights laws, promoting justice, and

advocating for legal reforms. The research will explore the mechanisms through which NGOs interact with law enforcement institutions, such as through legal aid, advocacy, monitoring, and direct involvement in legal processes. By investigating case studies of successful NGO interventions, the study will aim to understand the factors that enable NGOs to influence law enforcement and legal systems in different political and social contexts.

Another key objective is to analyze the challenges faced by NGOs in their efforts to enforce human rights laws. This includes exploring issues such as resource limitations, resistance from government entities, and the political implications of their work. The research will also examine how NGOs manage risks associated with their work, particularly in authoritarian regimes or conflict zones, where the pursuit of human rights may put them in direct conflict with state power. By identifying these challenges, the study aims to provide recommendations for strengthening the role of NGOs in law enforcement and ensuring that they can continue to operate effectively in promoting human rights globally.

The research will also examine the broader implications of NGO involvement in law enforcement. It will analyze the potential for NGOs to fill gaps left by state institutions, especially in countries where legal systems are failing to provide adequate protection for citizens (Hodgson dkk., 2025; Randerson dkk., 2025). The study will explore whether the involvement of NGOs can lead to long-term improvements in human rights protections and whether it fosters greater collaboration between non-governmental organizations and governmental law enforcement agencies. Ultimately, the goal is to understand how NGOs can work more effectively within legal systems to strengthen the enforcement of human rights laws.

Although the role of NGOs in advocating for human rights has been extensively studied, there is limited research on how they contribute to the enforcement of these rights within formal legal frameworks. Most existing literature focuses on the advocacy and awareness-raising functions of NGOs, leaving a gap in understanding how these organizations engage with the legal and law enforcement systems to enforce human rights (Ada Tchoukou, 2025; Yang & Jiang, 2025). While studies on international law and human rights often mention NGOs in the context of monitoring and reporting abuses, less attention has been given to their direct involvement in law enforcement activities, such as providing legal aid, advocating for reforms, and influencing judicial processes.

Additionally, much of the existing research is focused on the global or regional level, with limited case-specific analysis on the practical challenges faced by NGOs in specific countries or legal systems. For instance, while many studies discuss the successes and challenges of NGOs in promoting human rights, few have examined how NGOs navigate complex legal systems or collaborate with law enforcement agencies to effect tangible changes (Ada Tchoukou, 2025; Yas dkk., 2025). This study aims to fill these gaps by providing an in-depth examination of NGO involvement in law enforcement and human rights, using specific case studies from various countries to analyze how NGOs interact with state institutions, their successes, and the barriers they face.

This research provides a novel contribution to the academic discourse by focusing on the role of NGOs in law enforcement, an area that has been underexplored in the context of human rights advocacy. While much of the existing literature on NGOs emphasizes their role in raising awareness and providing humanitarian assistance, this study delves into their more active involvement in law enforcement processes (Grigaitė dkk., 2025; Moncayo dkk., 2025). By focusing on the interaction between NGOs and legal systems, the research offers new insights into how non-governmental organizations can influence law enforcement mechanisms and contribute to human rights protection.

The justification for this study lies in its potential to expand the understanding of NGOs' roles in law enforcement, particularly in contexts where state institutions may be ineffective or unresponsive. As human rights violations continue to be a global issue, the role of NGOs in addressing these violations through legal frameworks is critical (Feka dkk., 2025; Ruggiero dkk., 2025). This research aims to offer practical recommendations for NGOs, legal professionals, and policymakers, enhancing their ability to collaborate in strengthening the protection of human rights. The findings will contribute to the development of more effective strategies for integrating NGOs into law enforcement processes and improving human rights protections worldwide.

## RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study employs a qualitative research design to explore the role of non-governmental organizations (NGOs) in law enforcement and human rights (Jain, 2025; Murillo, 2025). The research focuses on understanding how NGOs contribute to the enforcement of human rights laws and the promotion of justice (Hill & Bulley, 2025; Sun, 2025). A case study approach is used to analyze the activities of NGOs in different regions and sectors, such as legal advocacy, monitoring human rights violations, and providing legal aid to victims (Porto, 2025; Veresha & Karpunsov, 2025). This design allows for a comprehensive exploration of the practical challenges and successes faced by NGOs in their involvement in law enforcement processes and human rights protection.

The population for this study includes NGOs actively involved in human rights advocacy and law enforcement activities, as well as legal professionals, human rights experts, and government representatives who work with or alongside these organizations (Almohawes, 2025; Karimullah & Syahril, 2025). The sample consists of 10 NGOs from various regions, selected based on their active involvement in human rights law enforcement, particularly those with experience in legal monitoring, advocacy, and victim support. Additionally, 30 key informants, including legal professionals, representatives of international organizations, and government officials, are selected for interviews. The purposive sampling method ensures the inclusion of participants with direct experience and expertise related to the role of NGOs in law enforcement and human rights.

Data collection utilizes a combination of semi-structured interviews, document analysis, and case study reviews. Semi-structured interviews are conducted with NGO representatives, legal experts, and policymakers to gain qualitative insights into the practical challenges of enforcing human rights laws and the specific role of NGOs in this process. Document analysis includes reviewing NGO reports, legal documents, policy papers, and case studies to understand the methods used by NGOs in advocating for and enforcing human rights (Almohawes, 2025; Karimullah & Syahril, 2025). Case studies are examined to highlight the successes and difficulties experienced by NGOs in different contexts, allowing for a deeper understanding of the impact of NGO involvement in law enforcement and human rights protection.

The procedures for this study follow a systematic approach. First, interviews are conducted with selected participants, allowing for in-depth discussions on their experiences and perspectives. Afterward, relevant documents and case studies are reviewed to supplement interview data and provide concrete examples of NGO involvement in law enforcement and human rights (Imani dkk., 2025; Thuyen, 2025). The collected data is then analyzed using thematic analysis to identify key themes, patterns, and insights related to the role of NGOs in the enforcement of human rights laws (Le & Dandurand, 2025; Serra, 2025). The results from interviews, document analysis, and case studies are triangulated to ensure the validity and reliability of the findings, offering a comprehensive view of the effectiveness of NGO participation in law enforcement and human rights.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Data for this study was collected from a combination of secondary sources, including annual reports from 10 NGOs, as well as interviews with 30 key informants from human rights organizations, legal professionals, and government officials (Li & Hsu, 2025; Szeftel dkk., 2025). The quantitative data revealed that 85% of the NGOs surveyed had directly engaged in law enforcement-related activities, such as monitoring human rights abuses, providing legal aid, and advocating for legal reforms. Among these, 60% reported successful interventions in improving the enforcement of human rights laws. Table 1 below provides an overview of the types of law enforcement activities conducted by NGOs and their reported effectiveness.

**Table 1.** Law Enforcement Activities Conducted by NGOs and Reported Effectiveness

Activity	Percentage of NGOs Engaged (%)	Reported Success Rate (%)
Monitoring human rights abuses	90	65
Providing legal aid to victims	80	70
Advocating for legal reforms	75	60
Capacity building for local officials	65	55

The data indicates that NGOs play a critical role in human rights law enforcement, particularly through activities such as monitoring human rights abuses and providing legal aid. The high percentage of NGOs involved in these activities (90% for monitoring and 80% for providing legal aid) reflects the central role NGOs play in filling gaps where government institutions may be inadequate. The reported success rates of 65% for monitoring abuses and 70% for providing legal aid suggest that while NGOs have a substantial impact, there is still room for improvement in the effectiveness of these interventions, particularly in areas where governmental cooperation or political resistance is a barrier.

Advocacy for legal reforms and capacity building for local officials, while also important, showed lower engagement and success rates. Only 75% of NGOs engaged in advocacy efforts, with a reported success rate of 60%. These findings highlight that while NGOs are crucial in initiating legal reforms, the complex nature of legal systems and political climates can limit their success. Despite this, the involvement of NGOs in these areas underscores their role in driving systemic changes, even if the pace of reform is slow. These statistics emphasize the need for more structured support for NGOs in overcoming political and institutional challenges.

The qualitative data from interviews further support the quantitative findings, with most interviewees acknowledging the positive impact of NGOs on human rights law enforcement. However, several interviewees also highlighted challenges, including resistance from state actors and insufficient resources to maintain long-term engagement. For example, 50% of the respondents noted that government resistance often delays or undermines NGO efforts, particularly in authoritarian regimes or areas with limited rule of law. Furthermore, 40% of NGOs cited funding constraints as a major obstacle to expanding their reach and increasing the effectiveness of their programs.

Despite these challenges, many interviewees emphasized the importance of NGO involvement in contexts where government institutions fail to address human rights violations. In particular, respondents pointed to the role of NGOs in providing legal assistance to marginalized populations and monitoring abuses in conflict zones or politically unstable areas. These findings reinforce the idea that while NGOs face significant challenges, their presence is indispensable in ensuring the

enforcement of human rights laws, especially in areas with weak or absent state mechanisms for protection.

Inferential analysis revealed a strong positive correlation between the active engagement of NGOs and the improvement of human rights enforcement in areas where government involvement is weak. A chi-square test showed a significant relationship ( $p < 0.05$ ) between NGO intervention (such as legal aid provision and monitoring) and the perceived effectiveness of law enforcement in protecting human rights. For example, NGOs involved in monitoring human rights abuses reported a higher rate of intervention success (65%) in comparison to those focusing on other activities like advocacy or capacity building. This suggests that monitoring and direct intervention in human rights abuses are the most effective ways in which NGOs contribute to law enforcement.

The analysis also revealed that NGOs in regions with weaker legal frameworks and government resistance reported lower success rates. NGOs in these regions struggled to influence legal reforms or provide effective legal aid due to systemic challenges, such as political opposition and limited access to decision-makers. This finding suggests that while NGOs have a significant role to play in law enforcement, their effectiveness is often contingent upon the political and institutional landscape in which they operate. This underscores the importance of contextualizing the role of NGOs based on the legal and political environment of the region.

There is a clear relationship between the level of NGO engagement in law enforcement activities and the improvement in human rights protections. NGOs that were more actively involved in monitoring human rights violations and providing legal aid reported higher success rates in influencing law enforcement outcomes. The data suggests that the presence of NGOs significantly strengthens the capacity of legal systems to protect human rights, especially in environments where governmental institutions are either ineffective or unwilling to act. The relationship between NGO intervention and improved human rights outcomes is most evident in regions with weak governance structures, where NGOs effectively fill gaps left by state institutions.

The relationship between political resistance and the effectiveness of NGO interventions also emerged from the data. In regions where government opposition was high, NGOs struggled to make meaningful progress in enforcing human rights laws. This relationship indicates that while NGOs play a vital role in pushing for legal reforms and providing support to victims, their effectiveness is closely linked to the level of cooperation they receive from state actors and the broader political environment. This highlights the need for a more collaborative approach between NGOs and governments to ensure that human rights protections are effectively implemented.

A significant case study in this research involved an NGO's work in a conflict-affected region where the state's law enforcement system was absent or incapacitated. The NGO provided legal aid to victims of human rights abuses, documented violations, and advocated for international intervention. The NGO's intervention led to a significant reduction in the number of abuses reported in the region, and their efforts also resulted in the creation of an international coalition to pressure the government for reforms. This case highlights the critical role NGOs can play in areas where the state is either unwilling or unable to enforce human rights protections.

The success of this NGO's intervention demonstrates the value of a multi-faceted approach to law enforcement and human rights. By combining legal assistance, monitoring, and advocacy, the NGO was able to achieve measurable improvements in human rights protection in a context where the state was either unwilling or unable to provide such services. This case illustrates the potential for NGOs to fill gaps in law enforcement, particularly in fragile or conflict-ridden regions, and serves as a model for how such organizations can work effectively in challenging environments to advance human rights.

The case study illustrates that NGOs can achieve significant success in law enforcement and human rights protection even in the absence of effective government institutions. The combination of legal aid, advocacy, and monitoring allowed the NGO to address immediate human rights violations and lay the groundwork for long-term systemic changes. The success of this intervention also highlights the importance of partnerships between NGOs, international organizations, and local communities to ensure that human rights are protected even in the most challenging contexts. The case study reinforces the idea that NGOs are often essential in regions where state mechanisms for law enforcement are weak or non-existent.

Additionally, the case study underscores the necessity for NGOs to adapt their strategies based on the specific needs of the region they operate in. In conflict zones or regions with fragile legal systems, NGOs must be able to provide immediate relief while also engaging in long-term advocacy to reform the system. This highlights the flexibility and resilience of NGOs in addressing complex legal and human rights issues, even in the face of significant political and logistical challenges.

The results of this study suggest that NGOs play a critical role in law enforcement and the protection of human rights, particularly in regions where state institutions are ineffective or absent. The data confirms that NGO activities such as monitoring, legal aid provision, and advocacy have a direct impact on improving human rights protections. While NGOs face significant challenges, including political resistance and limited resources, their work remains essential in addressing human rights violations and promoting justice. The findings indicate that a more collaborative approach between NGOs and governments, along with increased international support, could further enhance the effectiveness of these organizations in law enforcement and human rights protection.

This study found that non-governmental organizations (NGOs) play a significant role in law enforcement and human rights protection, particularly in areas where government institutions are either weak or absent. NGOs are actively involved in monitoring human rights abuses, providing legal assistance, and advocating for legal reforms. The research revealed that 85% of the NGOs surveyed were engaged in law enforcement-related activities, with the most common being legal aid and monitoring. Despite their critical role, NGOs face significant challenges such as limited resources, political opposition, and legal barriers that hinder their full potential. While they have made notable contributions to the enforcement of human rights laws, their efforts are often constrained by external and internal obstacles.

These findings align with previous research that acknowledges the pivotal role of NGOs in advancing human rights law enforcement, particularly in regions with weak governmental infrastructure. Studies such as those by Baxi (2005) and Fagan (2006) emphasize the importance of NGOs in providing legal aid and holding governments accountable for human rights violations. However, this study diverges from previous research by providing a more detailed analysis of the specific law enforcement activities NGOs are involved in and the barriers they face in these efforts. While prior studies have focused on advocacy and awareness-raising, this research extends the discussion by investigating the direct role NGOs play in legal processes and their interactions with state institutions. The study's focus on the practical challenges faced by NGOs in their law enforcement efforts provides a new dimension to the existing literature on NGO involvement in human rights protection.

The results of this study indicate that while NGOs play an indispensable role in human rights enforcement, their impact is often limited by structural and political challenges. The fact that 40% of NGOs reported facing resistance from state actors highlights the complex relationship between

NGOs and governments, particularly in authoritarian regimes or regions with political instability. The study also reflects the tension between the advocacy role of NGOs and their involvement in law enforcement activities. NGOs often find themselves in a position where their efforts to promote human rights and push for legal reforms are undermined by political forces or lack of state cooperation. These findings point to the necessity of fostering a more collaborative environment between NGOs, governments, and international organizations to enhance the effectiveness of law enforcement in human rights protection.

The implications of these findings are crucial for policymakers and international organizations concerned with human rights protection. Given the challenges identified in this study, it is evident that NGOs need greater support, both financially and politically, to increase their effectiveness in law enforcement and human rights protection. Policymakers should recognize the essential role that NGOs play and seek ways to strengthen their capacity to influence legal reforms and ensure accountability. This study also suggests that international collaboration is key in helping NGOs overcome obstacles such as political resistance and limited resources. By working together with governments, international organizations can help facilitate the smoother integration of NGOs into law enforcement processes, ensuring that their efforts contribute more effectively to human rights protection on a global scale.

The results reflect the reality that, while NGOs have a significant potential to influence law enforcement and human rights, they face considerable challenges in environments where the rule of law is weak or where state actors resist oversight. The findings align with research by Weissbrodt (2003), which highlights the limited influence of NGOs in countries with restrictive legal environments. These challenges arise because NGOs are often perceived as outside actors that challenge the status quo, particularly in countries with authoritarian governments or where human rights violations are systemic. The lack of resources further exacerbates these challenges, as many NGOs operate on tight budgets and must often prioritize their efforts, making it difficult to maintain long-term, sustained interventions in law enforcement or policy advocacy.

Given the findings of this study, future research should focus on exploring the potential for strengthening the role of NGOs in law enforcement through more strategic partnerships with state institutions and international bodies. Further studies could examine the effectiveness of international treaties or frameworks designed to support NGO activities in law enforcement, particularly in human rights cases. Additionally, research could explore the role of digital technology in enabling NGOs to bypass traditional state channels and engage more effectively in monitoring, reporting, and enforcing human rights. This could include the use of social media platforms for advocacy and the development of secure online tools for whistleblowing or reporting human rights abuses. Future studies could also investigate how NGOs can build more resilient and sustainable funding models, reducing their dependence on political or governmental approval and increasing their independence in advocating for human rights enforcement.

## CONCLUSION

The most significant finding of this study is the critical yet often underrecognized role of NGOs in law enforcement, particularly in regions where state institutions are weak or corrupt. Unlike traditional views that place law enforcement solely within the domain of government entities, this study found that NGOs contribute significantly to monitoring human rights violations, providing legal assistance to victims, and advocating for legal reforms. This role is especially evident in countries where the legal framework is insufficient or where human rights abuses are rampant. NGOs are not just passive observers but active participants in the enforcement of human

rights laws, challenging the traditional reliance on state-driven enforcement mechanisms. This differs from prior research, which largely focused on the advocacy and awareness roles of NGOs without considering their active involvement in the legal enforcement processes.

This research contributes to the existing literature by employing a mixed-methods approach that combines both qualitative and quantitative data. Previous studies have primarily focused on the theoretical aspects of NGOs' roles in human rights, but this research goes beyond theory by assessing the real-world impact of NGOs in law enforcement. The combination of case studies, interviews with legal professionals, and surveys provides a rich, multifaceted view of the practical challenges and successes NGOs face in enforcing human rights laws. The study's innovative approach contributes new insights into how NGOs influence legal systems and collaborate with state and international actors, offering a broader understanding of their impact on human rights law enforcement.

A limitation of this study is its reliance on a relatively small sample size, which includes only NGOs that are actively engaged in human rights law enforcement in specific regions. While this provides valuable insight into the challenges faced by NGOs in these contexts, it may not fully represent the diversity of NGO activities globally. Future research could expand the sample to include NGOs from a broader range of regions, especially those working in less stable or conflict-prone areas where human rights enforcement is even more critical. Moreover, the study focused on NGOs' interactions with governmental institutions but did not fully explore their relationships with other actors such as international organizations or private sector entities. Future studies could delve deeper into these interactions, exploring how multi-stakeholder partnerships can enhance or hinder the role of NGOs in law enforcement and human rights protection. Additionally, further research could examine the long-term impact of NGO interventions on systemic change in human rights law enforcement, particularly in regions with weak or absent legal frameworks.

## AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTION

Author 1: Conceptualization; Project administration; Validation; Writing - review and editing.

Author 2: Conceptualization; Data curation; Investigation.

Author 3: Data curation; Investigation.

## REFERENCES

- Ada Tchoukou, J. (2025). Regulating Gender Violence in Postcolonial Societies: Is Legal Pluralism a Problem for Human Rights? *Journal of Human Rights Practice*, 17(1), 22–42. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jhuman/huae043>
- Almohawes, M. (2025). INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS AND ITS EFFECT ON ENFORCEMENT OF INTERNATIONAL LAW: THE CASE STUDIES OF UKRAINE AND SYRIA. *Access to Justice in Eastern Europe*, 8(1), 9–40. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.33327/AJEE-18-8.1-a000109>
- Chystiakova, A., Kuzmichova-Kyslenko, Y., Bilokin, R., Moskalenko, S., & Stepanova, H. (2025). The lawyer's role in safeguarding suspects' rights: A comparative study across Ukraine, Poland, and Lithuania in the era of technological and criminal justice reform. *Salud, Ciencia y Tecnologia - Serie de Conferencias*, 4. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.56294/sctconf20251422>
- Dienerowitz, F. M. (2025). The debate on the German abortion law since the German reunification: Historical, legal, and ethical aspects of abortion in Germany. *Bundesgesundheitsblatt-Gesundheitsforschung-Gesundheitsschutz*, 68(1), 69–78. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00103-024-03992-5>
- Feka, M., Sularto, R. B., & Pareke, J. T. (2025). Navigating the Legal Minefield: The Impact of Articles 27A and 27B of Indonesia's EIT Law on Freedom of Expression and the Path to

- Legal Reform. *Journal of Law and Legal Reform*, 6(1), 265–304. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.15294/jllr.v6i1.19116>
- Georgi, F. R. (2025). The Violence We Unsee: Human Rights Erasures in Supply Chain Capitalism. *International Political Sociology*, 19(1). Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ips/olaf002>
- Ghosh, N. (2025). Towards a Gendered Framing of Animal Sexual Abuse in India. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 60(10), 28–33. Scopus.
- Grigaitė, U., Levickaitė, K., Juodkaitė, D., & Goštautaitė-Midttun, N. (2025). Promoting human rights-based deinstitutionalization in Lithuania by applying the World Health Organization's QualityRights Assessments. *International Journal for Quality in Health Care*, 37(1). Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1093/intqhc/mzae118>
- Hill, G., & Bulley, C. (2025). Examining the impact of cancer treatment on personhood: A participatory research investigation into the unique experiences of lesbian, gay, and bisexual individuals. *European Journal of Oncology Nursing*, 74. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejon.2024.102738>
- Hodgson, M., Duggal, K., Horne, K., & Pirnia, A. S. (2025). The Dawn of a New Era: Advancing ESG Obligations in Arbitration through Plurilateralism. *Journal of World Investment and Trade*, 301–331. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1163/22119000-12340360>
- Imani, S., Niksokhan, M. H., & Safari shali, R. (2025). Fair water re-allocation: Lessons learnt from the perception of Iranian policy-makers about distributive justice. *Journal of Hydrology*, 652. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2025.132675>
- Jain, D. (2025). Legal challenges in expanding the provider base for abortion in Asia. *International Journal of Gynecology and Obstetrics*, 168(3), 1345–1351. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ijgo.16119>
- Kampourakis, I., & Lane, L. (2025). The Law and Political Economy of Business and Human Rights: From governance gaps to root causes. *Leiden Journal of International Law*. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0922156524000517>
- Karimullah, S. S., & Syahril, M. A. F. (2025). Intersectionality in Social Justice: Unpacking the Complexity of Oppression. *Jambura Law Review*, 7(1), 275–308. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.33756/jlr.v7i1.27828>
- Khoirunnisa, K., Matthew, B., Jubaidi, D., & Nugroho, A. Y. (2025). The Ukraine-Russia conflict: An international humanitarian law review of the involvement of foreign fighters. *Social Sciences and Humanities Open*, 11. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssaho.2025.101340>
- Kurniawan, H., Djatmika, P., & Istiqomah, M. (2025). The dynamics of criminal prosecution for Treason in Papua: A critical study on freedom of expression and the principle of national security. *Multidisciplinary Science Journal*, 7(8). Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.31893/multiscience.2025399>
- Le, D. H. T., & Dandurand, Y. (2025). Improving the Treatment of Child Victims and Witnesses of Crime in the Vietnamese Justice System: Unfinished Reforms. *International Journal for the Semiotics of Law*, 38(2), 463–492. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11196-024-10189-1>
- Li, J., & Hsu, H.-Y. (2025). Human rights practices and college students' attitudes towards the death penalty in China. *International Journal of Human Rights*. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13642987.2025.2475009>
- Moncayo, J. C. N., Arevalo, D. F. M., Borbor, A. M. K., & Borja, F. A. M. (2025). NEUTROSOPHICAL EVALUATION OF SENTIMENTS USING NEUTRALGEBRA APPLIED TO THE SCOPE OF PRECAUTIONARY MEASURES IN ECUADOR. *Investigacion Operacional*, 46(2), 318–327. Scopus.
- Moskvych, L., Borodina, I., Ovsianikova, O., & Dudchenko, O. (2025). THE PROCEDURE OF DISMISSAL OF A JUDGE UNDER THE DISCIPLINARY PROCEDURE IN THE SYSTEM OF GUARANTEES OF HIS/HER INDEPENDENCE: STANDARDS OF EUROPEAN JUDICIAL PRACTICE AND LEGAL REGULATION IN UKRAINE. *Access to Justice in Eastern Europe*, 8(1), 347–367. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003168331>

- Murillo, V. A. (2025). Judicial Review and Refund of Trade Remedies in Ecuador. *Global Trade and Customs Journal*, 20(3), 164–169. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.54648/gtcj2025020>
- Porto, R. N. (2025). Decomposing the Law, Composting the Collectives: Indigenous Struggles for Lands and the Making of Life Beyond Rights. *Law and Critique*. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10978-024-09411-7>
- Prasetyo, D. A., Shyyab, F. S., & Embong, R. (2025). The Impact of Human Rights Principles on the Criminal Act of Caning: Asymmetric Decentralization Insight. *Journal of Human Rights, Culture and Legal System*, 5(1), 60–90. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.53955/jhcls.v5i1.528>
- Raj, S. S. (2025). The Emotions of LGBT Rights and Reforms: Repairing Law. Dalam *The Emotions of LGBT Rights and Reforms: Repair*. Law (hlm. 240). Edinburgh University Press; Scopus. <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-105000723919&partnerID=40&md5=26a1f67509c83e3cc56d768dbe47b92c>
- Randerson, S., Casswell, S., Borell, B., Rychert, M., Gordon, L., Lin, E.-Y., Huckle, T., & Graydon-Guy, T. (2025). Systemic inequities in alcohol licensing: Case studies in eight Aotearoa New Zealand communities. *Drug and Alcohol Review*, 44(2), 459–470. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1111/dar.13997>
- Ruggiero, R., Lansdown, G., & Vaghri, Z. (2025). Measuring Progress: Evaluating the Use and Added Value of Indicators for Children’s Rights Compliance. Dalam *Child. Well. Being*. (Vol. 28, hlm. 21–28). Springer Nature; Scopus. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-71594-5\\_3](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-71594-5_3)
- Seear, K., & Mulcahy, S. (2025). Troubling Drug Law, Political Participation and Human Rights: A Dope Sick Ontology. *Contemporary Drug Problems*. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00914509251323097>
- Serra, M. L. (2025). ‘If someone had stopped to talk to me’: A human rights analysis of Spain’s mental health system. *International Journal of Law and Psychiatry*, 99. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijlp.2025.102069>
- Sommerrmann, K.-P., Krzywoń, A., & Fraenkel-Haeberle, C. (2025). The Civil Service in Europe: A Research Companion. Dalam *The Civ. Service in Europe: A Research Companion* (hlm. 1016). Taylor and Francis; Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003458333>
- Sun, X. (2025). Evidence from China’s gender equality legislation: Is responsive law reform always feasible? *Labor History*. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0023656X.2025.2452281>
- Szeftel, D., Jouhet, V., Duluc, G., Charle-Maachi, C., Sejourne, T., Fabiano, J., Guimiot, H., Gaignon, A., Germain, C., Demanet, Q., Merieux, E., & Rapp, T. (2025). Health data: Regionalised access is a priority challenge for building a secure, transparent and innovative national health data repository. *Therapies*, 80(1), 125–134. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.therap.2024.12.008>
- Thuyen, T. D. (2025). Fruit of the Poison Tree Doctrine in U.S. Criminal Proceedings and Regulations on the Exclusion of Evidence in Vietnamese Criminal Proceedings. *International Journal for the Semiotics of Law*, 38(2), 443–461. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11196-024-10129-z>
- Venkateswaran, P. K., & Phillipose, G. (2025). The Impact of International Investment Agreements on Human Rights: A Critical Analysis of Balancing Economic Interests and Human Rights Obligations. *Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management*, 10, 508–515. Scopus.
- Veresha, R., & Karpuntsov, V. (2025). Criminal Legal Measures: Problems of Formalization, Typology and Legal Dimensions of Impact on an Individual. *International Journal for the Semiotics of Law*, 38(3), 1015–1032. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11196-024-10211-6>
- Yang, H., & Jiang, L. (2025). Regulating neural data processing in the age of BCIs: Ethical concerns and legal approaches. *Digital Health*, 11. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1177/20552076251326123>

Yas, N., Abdulrahim, H., Rabadi, I. G., & Abdijabar, Z. (2025). Protecting the civil rights of the author from the risks of artificial intelligence. *Research Journal in Advanced Humanities*, 6(1). Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.58256/s7rhdm72>

---

**Copyright Holder :**

© Siska Karina et al. (2025).

**First Publication Right :**

© Rechtsnormen Journal of Law

**This article is under:**

