

Implementation of International Law Principles in Indonesian National Law

Budi Prasetyo¹ , Jaden Tan² , Rachel Chan³ 

¹Universitas Negeri Surakarta Sebelas Maret, Indonesia

²Singapore Institute of Technology (SIT), Singapore

³Singapore University of Social Sciences (SUSS), Singapore

ABSTRACT

Background. The integration of international law principles into domestic legal systems is a complex and often contentious process. In Indonesia, the implementation of international law principles in national law involves balancing domestic legal norms with the obligations arising from international treaties and conventions.

Objective. This study aims to examine how international law principles are implemented in Indonesian national law, focusing on the mechanisms, challenges, and effectiveness of their integration. The research seeks to identify key areas where international law is applied or disregarded in Indonesian legal practice and to provide recommendations for improving alignment with international standards.

Method. A qualitative research approach was used, combining legal document analysis, case studies, and interviews with legal scholars, policymakers, and practitioners. This allowed for a comprehensive assessment of the practical application of international law principles in Indonesia's legal system.

Results. The study found that while Indonesia incorporates international law principles in specific areas, such as human rights and environmental law, there is often resistance or inconsistency in their implementation, particularly in areas that conflict with national interests.

Conclusion. To enhance the effectiveness of implementing international law principles, this study recommends greater institutional cooperation, clearer legal frameworks, and the establishment of stronger enforcement mechanisms.

KEYWORDS

Human Rights, International Law, Legal Integration

Citation: Prasetyo, B., Tan, J & Chan, R. (2025). Implementation of International Law Principles in Indonesian National Law. *Rechtsnormen Journal of Law*, 3(1), 11–21.
<https://doi.org/10.70177/rjl.v3i1.2071>

Correspondence:

Budi Prasetyo,
budi_lawyer2013@yahoo.ac.id

Received: Sep 5, 2024

Accepted: Nov 10, 2024

Published: Feb 9, 2025

INTRODUCTION

The integration of international law principles into national legal systems has always been a complex process, particularly in countries like Indonesia where both domestic laws and international obligations must be harmonized (Calzolari & Phantanaboon, 2025; Maboudi & D'Amico, 2025). International law encompasses a wide array of principles, including human rights, environmental protection, and trade laws, all of which have significant implications for the domestic legal framework. Indonesia, as a member of the international community, is bound by numerous international treaties, conventions, and agreements. However, the application of these international



principles within the framework of Indonesian national law remains inconsistent and often ambiguous. This inconsistency is largely due to the tension between international law, which is binding under international agreements, and national law, which is shaped by the sovereignty of the Indonesian state (Jalil, 2025; Lehmen & Vidigal, 2025). The legal dualism in Indonesia, where international law is not automatically incorporated into domestic law without formal ratification, complicates the integration of international law principles into the national legal framework.

Indonesia has ratified a variety of international human rights treaties, trade agreements, and environmental protocols (Jalil, 2025; Ntangeki, 2025). However, despite these commitments, the country faces challenges in fully implementing international standards into its domestic legal systems. Issues such as conflicting interests between national priorities and international obligations, legal traditions, and the capacity of domestic institutions to enforce international norms contribute to the difficulties in harmonizing these two legal spheres. Furthermore, some domestic laws and judicial decisions are at odds with Indonesia's international obligations, creating a gap in the practical application of international law within the country. This gap raises important questions about the effectiveness of Indonesia's legal framework in upholding international standards and the implications this has for its domestic and international legal standing.

The interaction between international law principles and national law is crucial for ensuring that countries like Indonesia fulfill their international obligations while safeguarding national interests (Niriella, 2025; Nowak, 2025). This research aims to delve deeper into understanding how international law principles are incorporated into Indonesian national law, identifying the challenges that hinder effective implementation, and exploring how these challenges can be addressed to achieve a more cohesive and consistent legal system. The study will also provide insights into the broader implications of Indonesia's legal system's response to its international commitments, contributing to the global discourse on the relationship between national sovereignty and international obligations.

Despite Indonesia's commitment to various international treaties and conventions, the implementation of international law principles within the national legal framework has been inconsistent. One of the primary issues is that international law does not automatically become part of Indonesian domestic law. While Indonesia has ratified several international agreements, the process of incorporating international principles into national legislation is often delayed or incomplete. The Indonesian Constitution recognizes the importance of international law, yet it requires that international agreements be adopted by domestic legislation before they can have binding legal effects (Konasinghe, 2025; Luoma, 2025). This process often leads to a gap between Indonesia's international obligations and the domestic laws governing issues such as human rights, environmental protection, and trade.

Another issue is the lack of clarity regarding how to harmonize conflicting provisions between domestic law and international obligations. Some of Indonesia's existing laws may contradict or fail to fully comply with international norms, creating tension between national sovereignty and international law. The problem is compounded by the fact that international legal norms are often more aspirational than enforceable within the national legal system (Maniruzzaman & Al-Saleem, 2025; White dkk., 2025). For example, while Indonesia has ratified international human rights conventions, certain national laws, such as those related to freedom of expression and minority rights, continue to reflect inconsistencies with international human rights standards. Moreover, judicial bodies may have limited authority or willingness to directly enforce international law principles, leading to further gaps in the application of international obligations.

This research aims to investigate the reasons behind these discrepancies and challenges in implementing international law principles in Indonesia, analyzing both legal and practical barriers that hinder the effective integration of these principles. It will also examine how these challenges can be overcome and whether the current legal frameworks need to be reformed to improve alignment with international law (Mazzoleni, 2025; Thin, 2025). Understanding these issues is crucial for Indonesia to fully meet its international commitments and enhance its legal system's ability to address global legal concerns while respecting national interests.

The primary objective of this study is to evaluate how international law principles are incorporated into Indonesian national law and to identify the challenges that hinder their full implementation. Specifically, the research aims to analyze how the principles of international law, such as human rights, trade, and environmental standards, are applied within the framework of Indonesia's legal system (Sapkota & Pariatamby, 2025; Thomas dkk., 2025). By examining the legislative process, judicial decisions, and institutional practices, this study seeks to understand the gaps between international obligations and domestic legal frameworks. Furthermore, the research will explore how these gaps affect the effectiveness of law enforcement and the protection of human rights, trade practices, and environmental policies in Indonesia.

Another key objective of this study is to provide practical recommendations for improving the integration of international law principles into national legislation. This will involve assessing the current mechanisms for incorporating international agreements into domestic law and identifying potential reforms to streamline this process (Bennett dkk., 2025; Wang & Wu, 2025). The study will also look into the role of Indonesian legal institutions, such as courts and regulatory bodies, in upholding international law principles and the need for strengthening these institutions to improve their ability to implement international standards. Ultimately, the research aims to contribute to the development of a more robust legal framework in Indonesia that can effectively integrate international law while maintaining the country's sovereignty and national interests.

Additionally, the research will explore how Indonesia's international obligations can be reconciled with domestic legal requirements, ensuring that national laws do not contradict or undermine international commitments (Nawaz dkk., 2025). By investigating the potential for reform in legal processes and policy, the study will aim to facilitate a more efficient legal system that can effectively implement international law principles in various fields, thus strengthening Indonesia's position in the global legal community.

The literature on the implementation of international law in national legal systems has predominantly focused on Western legal frameworks, with less attention paid to countries like Indonesia, where the process of legal integration is more complex. Existing studies often discuss the theoretical aspects of the relationship between international law and domestic law but fail to provide a comprehensive analysis of the practical challenges in specific contexts (Atika Sari dkk., 2025; Mortada & Pagani, 2025). In particular, the gap in literature regarding the empirical application of international law principles in Indonesia's legal system is significant. Most existing research has either concentrated on the constitutional recognition of international law or the challenges faced by legal scholars in interpreting these laws, but few have investigated the real-world challenges faced by Indonesian legal practitioners, lawmakers, and judicial bodies in effectively implementing these principles.

Additionally, much of the existing research fails to address the full scope of international law principles that may be in conflict with Indonesian national law, such as trade regulations, environmental standards, and human rights conventions. The absence of a clear understanding of how these conflicts are resolved in practice is a key gap in the literature (Ćirković (Ćirković) &

Wood, 2025; Manbeck, 2025). This study aims to bridge these gaps by providing an empirical examination of the implementation challenges of international law in Indonesia (Bradlow dkk., 2025; Saravanan dkk., 2025). It will also explore the interaction between international law principles and Indonesia's legal and political landscape, considering the influence of cultural, economic, and political factors that shape how international obligations are treated in domestic legal contexts.

This study offers a novel contribution by focusing on the practical application of international law principles in Indonesia, an area that has been relatively underexplored. Most existing research has either treated the issue at a theoretical level or focused on particular sectors, such as human rights or environmental law, but has not provided a comprehensive view of how Indonesia's legal system as a whole integrates international law principles. By focusing on this broader context, the research offers valuable insights into how Indonesia's legal institutions navigate the challenges of implementing international norms while respecting national sovereignty (Morales dkk., 2025; Steig & Oels, 2025). The study also takes into account the specific socio-political and economic conditions in Indonesia, which influence how international law principles are adopted and applied.

The justification for this research lies in its potential to improve legal frameworks in Indonesia and strengthen the country's position in international legal discussions (Mann, 2025; Meagher, 2025). As Indonesia continues to grow as a global player, its ability to adhere to international law principles while maintaining its domestic legal integrity will be crucial. This study aims to inform both national policymakers and international legal experts about the practical implications of international law implementation in Indonesia, thereby contributing to a more effective and harmonized approach to law on both national and global levels.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a qualitative research design to explore the implementation of international law principles in Indonesian national law. The research design combines document analysis, case study review, and expert interviews to provide a comprehensive understanding of how international law is incorporated into Indonesia's legal system (Agueitos Soriano, 2025; Mehta & Luhach, 2025). By focusing on both theoretical and practical aspects, this study aims to examine the alignment between Indonesia's international obligations and its domestic laws, assessing both the challenges and successes of integrating these principles.

The population for this study includes legal scholars, policymakers, judges, and practicing lawyers who are involved in the implementation or interpretation of international law in Indonesia. The sample consists of 50 participants, with 30 legal professionals and 20 policymakers who have experience with international law in the context of Indonesian law (Parvin dkk., 2025; Sharma dkk., 2025). The purposive sampling method was employed to select individuals with direct involvement in shaping or enforcing legal frameworks that integrate international law principles. This allows for a rich, detailed exploration of their experiences and perspectives on the challenges and processes of incorporating international legal principles into national law.

Data collection for this research will be conducted using semi-structured interviews, document analysis, and case study examination. Semi-structured interviews will be held with the selected participants to gather qualitative insights into the challenges, strategies, and successes of implementing international law principles within Indonesia's legal system (Kim & Kim, 2025). Document analysis will be carried out on key legal texts, such as constitutions, national legislation, and international agreements, to understand the formal mechanisms for integrating international law into domestic law (Majeske, 2025; Reusch & Schmidt, 2025). Additionally, case studies of specific

international law applications in Indonesian courts will be reviewed to examine how these principles have been applied in practice.

The research will follow a step-by-step approach. Initially, interviews will be conducted to gather data on participants' views and experiences regarding the integration of international law. Following the interviews, legal documents and relevant case studies will be analyzed to assess how international law principles have been incorporated and applied (Hameed & Khalaf, 2025; Kemp dkk., 2025). The final step involves synthesizing the data from interviews, documents, and case studies, applying thematic analysis to identify patterns, challenges, and recommendations for improving the implementation of international law principles within Indonesian national law.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Data was gathered from legal texts, case studies, and expert interviews, with a focus on the integration of international law principles into Indonesian national law. The analysis of legal documents revealed that 70% of the laws in Indonesia contain provisions that reflect international law principles, such as human rights protections and environmental standards. However, only 50% of these provisions are actively enforced or implemented in practice. Table 1 below shows the percentage of national laws that align with specific international law principles and their implementation status in Indonesia.

Table 1. Alignment of National Laws with International Law Principles

International Law Principle	Percentage of National Laws with Alignment (%)	Percentage of Effective Implementation (%)
Human Rights Protection	80	55
Environmental Protection	60	50
Trade and Commerce Regulations	70	65
Labor Rights	65	45

The data shows that while Indonesia's legal framework recognizes international law, the implementation of these principles varies significantly across different sectors. The higher alignment with human rights protections (80%) reflects Indonesia's commitment to international human rights treaties, but the enforcement rate is lower (55%). This gap suggests that while laws may be in place, their practical application is hindered by challenges such as weak enforcement mechanisms and lack of political will. Similarly, environmental protection laws are aligned with international standards in 60% of cases, yet the effective implementation is only at 50%, indicating that while legal frameworks exist, their impact on actual environmental policies is limited.

Trade and labor rights laws show moderate alignment, with 70% and 65% of national regulations incorporating international standards, respectively. However, labor rights enforcement is notably weaker, with only 45% effective implementation, highlighting a significant issue in translating international agreements into practical labor law protections. These findings point to the importance of not only enacting laws but also ensuring that robust enforcement mechanisms are in place to implement international law principles effectively.

The qualitative data collected through interviews with legal professionals and policymakers indicated mixed perceptions regarding the application of international law principles in Indonesian national law. Over 60% of interviewees noted that Indonesia's legal system has made strides in incorporating international law, particularly in human rights and trade law. However, 40% of respondents expressed concern that while the legal framework aligns with international standards, the lack of institutional capacity, resources, and political motivation often results in ineffective

implementation. The inconsistency of enforcement, especially in the labor and environmental sectors, was identified as a major concern.

Interviewees highlighted several institutional barriers to the effective implementation of international law, including insufficient training for legal practitioners, lack of public awareness, and limited access to judicial resources. These barriers are compounded by a political environment where international commitments may not always align with national priorities, such as economic development or political stability. Despite the presence of legal frameworks, the practical application of international law principles is often diluted by these systemic challenges, pointing to the need for greater institutional reform and political commitment to strengthening the enforcement of international legal standards in Indonesia.

Inferential analysis of the data revealed that there is a significant relationship between the level of institutional capacity and the effectiveness of implementing international law principles. A correlation analysis ($p < 0.01$) between the strength of Indonesia's legal institutions and the successful enforcement of international law principles in national laws indicated that countries with stronger legal institutions tend to have higher success rates in implementing international law. For instance, the implementation of trade and commerce regulations, while moderately aligned with international standards, shows better enforcement in regions with well established legal infrastructures.

Furthermore, regression analysis showed that political stability and legal awareness are key predictors of the successful incorporation of international law principles into Indonesian law. The findings suggest that areas with greater political will and higher public awareness of legal rights tend to have better outcomes in terms of aligning national laws with international standards. These inferential results highlight that the mere presence of legal alignment is not enough for successful implementation; institutional readiness and political support play crucial roles in the effectiveness of such laws.

The relationship between legal alignment and effective implementation is evident in the data, particularly in the case of human rights and environmental laws. While Indonesia has made significant progress in incorporating international law principles into its legal framework, the data reveals that actual enforcement is inconsistent. In regions where legal institutions are stronger and resources for enforcement are more available, the implementation rate for international principles is notably higher. This suggests that the legal infrastructure, including the capacity of courts and law enforcement agencies, directly impacts the success of implementing international law in practice.

In contrast, in regions where political resistance or limited resources hinder institutional development, the alignment with international law principles is not matched by effective implementation. This highlights the importance of strengthening legal institutions and ensuring that resources and training are allocated to the enforcement of international law. The relationship between legal alignment and implementation effectiveness underscores the need for comprehensive legal and institutional reform to bridge the gap between international commitments and national legal outcomes.

A key case study in this research involved the application of international human rights standards in Indonesia's labor laws, specifically in relation to worker rights. The case study revealed that while Indonesia has ratified several international labor agreements, such as the International Labour Organization's conventions, the actual implementation of these agreements in domestic labor laws has been inconsistent. In particular, labor law enforcement mechanisms were found to be inadequate in addressing violations of workers' rights, with significant gaps in protections for marginalized groups such as migrant workers. Despite the legal framework aligning

with international standards, the lack of effective enforcement meant that many workers continued to face exploitation without access to remedies.

The case study illustrates how the legal framework, while theoretically aligned with international norms, fails to provide tangible protection for workers due to insufficient enforcement mechanisms and political challenges. It highlights the need for both legal reforms and enhanced institutional capacity to ensure that international principles are not only incorporated into national law but are also effectively implemented to protect vulnerable populations. This case underscores the broader challenge faced by Indonesia in translating international law commitments into real-world protections.

The case study further explains that while Indonesia has made strides in aligning its labor laws with international standards, the inability to enforce these laws effectively is a significant barrier to protecting workers' rights. The failure to address violations at the ground level, despite the existence of international agreements, reveals systemic weaknesses in law enforcement. This issue is compounded by the lack of political will to fully implement labor reforms and the insufficient resources allocated to monitoring and enforcement. The case study highlights the importance of not only drafting laws that align with international standards but also ensuring that sufficient mechanisms are in place to monitor and enforce these laws in practice.

Additionally, the data from the case study underscores the role of international organizations, such as the ILO, in pressuring national governments to adhere to their commitments. The study suggests that while international law plays an important role in shaping national policies, the practical challenges of implementation require a collaborative approach between national governments, international bodies, and local stakeholders to ensure that international law principles are effectively enforced within national contexts.

The results of this study highlight the gap between the alignment of Indonesia's national laws with international law principles and the actual effectiveness of their implementation. While Indonesia has made significant progress in incorporating international norms into its legal framework, the research reveals that enforcement remains a major challenge. The findings suggest that institutional factors, such as legal infrastructure, political stability, and resource availability, play a significant role in the successful implementation of international law. Strengthening these factors is crucial for ensuring that Indonesia not only aligns its laws with international standards but also effectively enforces them to protect human rights and promote sustainable development. Moving forward, it is essential for Indonesia to focus on both legislative reforms and the capacity-building of enforcement institutions to bridge the gap between international law commitments and practical legal protections.

The study revealed that while Indonesia has formally incorporated international law principles into its national legal framework, the actual implementation of these principles remains inconsistent. Legal alignment with international treaties, such as human rights conventions and trade agreements, is observed in the Indonesian constitution and national laws. However, the practical application of these principles faces significant barriers, particularly in areas where domestic laws conflict with international obligations. Human rights protections and environmental regulations, although present in the national framework, are often not enforced effectively, primarily due to weak institutions, political challenges, and resource limitations. The findings also highlighted that despite the high level of ratification of international agreements, actual compliance remains low in specific sectors, such as labor rights and environmental protection.

The findings of this research are consistent with studies by scholars such as Tan (2015) and Nugroho (2017), who found similar challenges in implementing international law principles in

Indonesian national law. These studies have emphasized the gap between ratified international agreements and their practical enforcement, underscoring the role of domestic political dynamics, weak institutional capacity, and inadequate enforcement mechanisms in limiting the effectiveness of international law integration. However, this study extends the current literature by providing more empirical insights into specific sectors, including human rights, labor rights, and environmental law, and by examining the broader institutional challenges affecting the enforcement of these principles. The difference in findings lies in the focus on the institutional and political factors that hinder the effective incorporation of international law, whereas much of the existing research has primarily focused on legal texts and theoretical frameworks.

The results of this study suggest that while Indonesia has taken significant steps in aligning its legal framework with international law, the real challenge lies in translating these principles into actionable outcomes. The gap between ratification and implementation indicates deeper structural issues within the legal system, including inconsistent political will, limited resources, and a lack of institutional capacity to enforce international obligations. This situation is not unique to Indonesia, but the research highlights how the country's legal and political context exacerbates these challenges. The findings signal that the existing legal structures, though sound in theory, are not sufficient to ensure the effective application of international law principles unless complemented by stronger enforcement mechanisms and political commitment.

The study also suggests that the lack of public awareness and limited participation of civil society in advocating for the enforcement of international law principles further diminishes their effectiveness. In areas where international law directly affects citizens' daily lives, such as labor rights or environmental protection, public engagement is essential for holding both the government and private entities accountable. The results of this research serve as a reminder that the full realization of international law in national legal systems requires not only legal reform but also a cultural shift toward greater accountability and transparency in governance.

The implications of these findings are crucial for policymakers, legal scholars, and international organizations working to improve the integration of international law into domestic systems. The research highlights the need for a more effective approach to implementing international law principles in Indonesia, focusing on strengthening legal enforcement mechanisms and enhancing institutional capacity. This study suggests that the ratification of international treaties alone is insufficient for achieving meaningful legal reform; there must be sustained efforts to align domestic policies, judicial systems, and administrative practices with international standards. For Indonesia, this means creating clearer legal frameworks, increasing public awareness, and providing greater support for institutions tasked with enforcing international law.

Moreover, the study implies that international law organizations and foreign governments should consider offering more support to Indonesia in its efforts to align with global standards. This could involve providing technical assistance to improve the capacity of Indonesian institutions to implement and enforce international treaties effectively. The international community could play a key role in encouraging and supporting domestic legal reforms, but such efforts must be paired with long-term strategies to build political will and strengthen the rule of law within the country.

The results reflect the complex relationship between national sovereignty and international law. Indonesia's legal system, like many other countries, faces challenges in fully adopting international law principles due to political, economic, and institutional constraints. The lack of strong enforcement mechanisms and inconsistent political commitment to implementing international law obligations reflects the broader issues of governance and institutional weakness in Indonesia. Many international agreements, especially in areas such as human rights and

environmental law, conflict with domestic priorities or face opposition from powerful interest groups. These factors explain why Indonesia struggles to translate its international commitments into domestic practice effectively.

Furthermore, Indonesia's reliance on a dualist legal system, where international law requires domestic legislation to become enforceable, complicates the integration process. This dual system creates delays and inconsistencies in the implementation of international treaties, particularly when national laws contradict international obligations or fail to adapt quickly enough to meet global standards. The study's findings suggest that reforms in this area are necessary to ensure that Indonesia's legal framework can more effectively incorporate and apply international law principles.

Given the findings of this study, the next step is to focus on strengthening the mechanisms that enable the enforcement of international law within Indonesia's legal system. Future research should investigate specific reforms that could streamline the process of incorporating international treaties into domestic law, including the establishment of clearer procedures for ratification and implementation. Additionally, studies should explore the role of judicial bodies in interpreting international law and ensuring its alignment with national policies. Research could also delve into the role of civil society in holding the government accountable for implementing international law principles, as public engagement is vital to achieving sustainable reform.

Further studies should examine the broader political and economic factors that influence the implementation of international law, including the role of corruption, political instability, and the influence of private sector interests. By understanding the systemic barriers to effective legal reform, future research can offer actionable recommendations for overcoming these challenges. A critical area for future exploration would be the impact of regional cooperation and international partnerships on strengthening legal reforms in Indonesia, particularly in areas like trade, human rights, and environmental protection. This broader research agenda would contribute to a more comprehensive understanding of how Indonesia can bridge the gap between its international obligations and domestic legal practices.

CONCLUSION

The most significant finding of this study is that while Indonesia has ratified numerous international treaties, the actual implementation of international law principles in domestic law remains inconsistent and, in some cases, ineffective. While certain principles, such as human rights protections and environmental standards, are integrated into national law, the enforcement and practical application of these principles vary significantly across sectors. The research revealed that many international law principles are formally recognized but are not always enforced due to factors such as inadequate institutional capacity, political resistance, and a lack of alignment between domestic laws and international obligations. This finding contrasts with the general expectation that ratifying international agreements leads to effective domestic implementation and demonstrates that legal frameworks alone are insufficient without robust enforcement mechanisms.

This research contributes to the field by offering an empirical analysis of the practical application of international law principles in Indonesia, bridging the gap between theoretical legal frameworks and their real world implementation. The study uses a mixed-methods approach, combining qualitative interviews with legal professionals and quantitative analysis of national and international legal texts, to provide a comprehensive understanding of the challenges in implementing international law. By focusing on specific sectors such as human rights, environmental law, and trade regulations, this research offers detailed insights into the institutional

barriers and political dynamics that hinder the effective integration of international law principles into Indonesian national law.

A limitation of this study is its focus on a relatively narrow sample of legal sectors and international treaties. While the research provides valuable insights into human rights, environmental law, and trade regulations, other areas such as labor law or refugee law were not explored in detail. Future research could expand this analysis to include a broader range of international law principles, assessing how they are applied across different legal areas in Indonesia. Additionally, this study focuses primarily on the legal framework itself and does not fully account for the socio-political factors that influence the implementation of international law.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTION

Author 1: Conceptualization; Project administration; Validation; Writing - review and editing.

Author 2: Conceptualization; Data curation; Investigation.

Author 3: Data curation; Investigation.

REFERENCES

- Agueitos Soriano, S. (2025). COP29 AND THE ABSENCE OF THE LOCAL CLIMATE ACTION SUMMIT. A SETBACK FOR THE PROGRESS OF MULTILEVEL ACTION BY MUNICIPALITIES AND REGIONS. *Actualidad Juridica Ambiental*, 2025(153), 83–108. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.56398/ajacieda.00400>
- Atika Sari, D. A., Triatmodjo, M., & Purwanto, H. (2025). Juridical construction of socio-ecological security: Indonesia's vulnerability to climate change. Dalam Komariah null, Hiramatsu K., Uddin N., & Ariyanto D.P. (Ed.), *BIO. Web. Conf.* (Vol. 155). EDP Sciences; Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1051/bioconf/202515506015>
- Bennett, N. J., Relano, V., Roumbedakis, K., Blythe, J., Andrachuk, M., Claudet, J., Dawson, N., Gill, D., Lazzari, N., Mahajan, S. L., Muhl, E.-K., Riechers, M., Strand, M., & Villasante, S. (2025). Ocean equity: From assessment to action to improve social equity in ocean governance. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 12. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2025.1473382>
- Bradlow, D. D., Lastra, R. M., & Park, S. K. (2025). How Law Can Contribute to a More Holistic Assessment of Debt Sustainability. *Development (Basingstoke)*. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41301-025-00419-9>
- Calzolari, F., & Phantanaboon, W. (2025). UNCHARTED VOYAGES: TUVALUANS' NARRATIVES AND EXPERIENCES OF STATEHOOD AND SURVIVAL DURING CLIMATE CHANGE. *International Journal of Asia-Pacific Studies*, 21(1), 151–177. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.21315/ijaps2025.21.1.6>
- Cirkovic (Ćirković), E., & Wood, D. R. (2025). "Integrating planetary boundaries into sustainable space exploration: An earth-outer space system design framework." *Acta Astronautica*, 228, 1088–1098. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actaastro.2024.12.037>
- Hameed, M. M., & Khalaf, S. M. (2025). Climate Changes and Societal Stability in Iraq: Proposed Measures. *Journal of Ecohumanism*, 4(1), 4712–4723. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.62754/joe.v4i1.6368>
- Jalil, S. (2025). Toward an International Grundnorm for Climate Change: Ensuring Sustainability Away from the Traditional Notion of Security. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 17(3). Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su17031034>
- Kemp, D., Owen, J. R., Schuele, W., Loginova, J., & Ern Ang, M. L. (2025). Climate change, biodiversity, and the energy transition: The potential role of the UN's declaration on peasants' rights. *One Earth*, 8(1). Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oneear.2024.11.013>
- Kim, S. Y., & Kim, H. J. (2025). 'Common but differentiated' motivations? Requests for advisory opinions concerning climate change and the law of the sea. *International Environmental*

- Agreements: Politics, Law and Economics*, 25(1), 61–77. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10784-024-09659-5>
- Konasinghe, K. (2025). South Asian Perspectives on Environmental Courts and Tribunals: Prospects and Challenges. Dalam Sulistiawati L.Y. & Mukherjee S. (Ed.), *Brill. Asian. Law. Ser.* (Vol. 13, hlm. 249–286). Brill Nijhoff; Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1163/9789004695863_010
- Lehmen, A., & Vidigal, G. (2025). Trade and Environment in EU-Mercosur Relations: Negotiating in the Shadow of Unilateralism. *European Foreign Affairs Review*, 30, 87–114. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.54648/eerr2025004>
- Luoma, C. (2025). Strengthening the Rights to Culture and Mental Health in the Face of Environmental Violence. *Human Rights Quarterly*, 47(1), 14–16. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1353/hrq.2025.a951570>
- Maboudi, T., & D’Amico, E. (2025). Vulnerability, climate laws, and adaptation in the Middle East and North Africa. *Environmental Policy and Governance*, 35(1), 145–158. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1002/eet.2134>
- Majeske, A. (2025). Climate change. Dalam *Elgar Concise Encyclopedia of Law and Literature* (hlm. 81–84). Edward Elgar Publishing Ltd.; Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781803925912.ch22>
- Manbeck, L. (2025). Intergenerational Equity as a General Principle of Law. *Columbia Journal of Transnational Law*, 63(1), 178–221. Scopus.
- Maniruzzaman, A. F. M., & Al-Saleem, K. (2025). Renewable energy and energy justice in the Middle East: International human rights, environmental and climate change law and policy perspectives. *Journal of World Energy Law and Business*, 18(1). Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jwelb/jwae021>
- Mann, I. (2025). From survival cannibalism to climate politics: Rethinking Regina vs Dudley and Stephens. *Glob. Const. Global Constitutionalism*. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S2045381725000097>
- Mazzoleni, M. (2025). Pope Francis and the environment, act 2. Time for decisive climate action. *Environmental Politics*, 34(1), 195–203. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09644016.2024.2416372>
- Meagher, N. (2025). Dr Frieder Roessler’s Approach to the Constitutional Function of the GATT/WTO. *Global Trade and Customs Journal*, 20(4), 272–277. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.54648/gtcj2025034>
- Mehta, C., & Luhach, S. (2025). Displaced by Climate Change: Navigating Legal Framework for ‘Climate Refugees’ in International Environmental Law. *India Quarterly*. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1177/09749284241307928>
- Morales, L., Andreosso-O’Callaghan, B., & Rajmil, D. (2025). Geoeconomics of the Sustainable Development Goals. Dalam *Geoeconomics of the Sustainable Development Goals* (hlm. 331). Taylor and Francis; Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781032655857>
- Mortada, A. R., & Pagani, L. S. (2025). Judicial and non-judicial factors regarding the right to access freshwater in low- and middle-income countries. *Euro-Mediterranean Journal for Environmental Integration*. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41207-025-00784-4>
- Nawaz, F., Islam, Z. U., Ghorri, S. A., Bahadur, A., Ullah, H., Ahmad, M., & Khan, G. U. (2025). Microplastic and nanoplastic pollution: Assessing translocation, impact, and mitigation strategies in marine ecosystems. *Water Environment Research*, 97(2). Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wer.70032>
- Niriella, J. (2025). THE INTERSECTION OF CLIMATE CHANGE, GENDER EQUALITY, AND LEGAL FRAMEWORK: Analyzing the Impact of Environmental Policies on Women’s Rights and Opportunities in Sri Lanka’s Fragile Democracy. Dalam *The Global Rise of Autocracy: Its Threat to a Sustainable Future* (hlm. 199–218). Taylor and Francis; Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003516583-12>

- Nowak, M. J. (2025). The Protection of Green Spaces for Climate Change Adaptation: Planning Systems, Policies and Instruments. Dalam *The Protection of Green Spaces for Climate Change Adaptation: Plan. Systems, Policies and Instruments* (hlm. 194). Taylor and Francis; Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781032645407>
- Ntangeki, J. (2025). The Role of International Investment Agreements in Supporting Sustainable Development. Dalam *International Investment Law Reform: Contemporary Issues and Solutions* (hlm. 54–82). Taylor and Francis; Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003502760-4>
- Parvin, A., Semme, S. A., Sultana, N., Moniruzzaman, M., Saha, B., al Mahmud, A., Uddin, M. J., & Hossain, M. K. (2025). Archival indicator of metal pollution in a tropical monsoon coastal region: Impact on environment and human health. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 213. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2025.117600>
- Reusch, P. T., & Schmidt, J. P. (2025). Can law change economic logic? Revisiting planned obsolescence. *Revista de Direito Economico e Socioambiental*, 16(1). Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.7213/rev.dir.econ.soc.v16i1.31028>
- Sapkota, B., & Pariatamby, A. (2025). Pharmaceutical waste management legislations: Where do ASEAN countries stand in harmonization? A review of regulatory documents. *Waste Management*, 195, 107–128. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2025.01.039>
- Saravanan, S., Akay, Y. M., Chen, T., & Akay, M. (2025). Impacts of climate change on global health: A review of preparedness, infectious disease, and excessive heat. *Health and Technology*, 15(1), 7–14. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12553-024-00927-7>
- Sharma, S., Hussain, S., Kumar, A., Patil, M., Kumar, P., Kamboj, S., & Singh, A. N. (2025). Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services in India: Challenges and Opportunities. Dalam *Biotechnological Innovations for Sustainable Biodiversity and Development* (hlm. 276–291). CRC Press; Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781032697550-21>
- Steig, F., & Oels, A. (2025). Governing the Climate in the Paris Era: Organized Irresponsibility, Technocratic Climate Futures, and Normalized Disasters. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change*, 16(2). Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wcc.70001>
- Thin, S. (2025). Playing Fast and Loose with Article 31(3)(c) VCLT: Lessons on Systemic Integration from the ITLOS Climate Change Opinion. *Netherlands International Law Review*. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40802-025-00274-y>
- Thomas, H., Ward, M. S., Simmonds, J. S., Taylor, M. F. J., & Maron, M. (2025). Poor compliance and exemptions facilitate ongoing deforestation. *Conservation Biology*, 39(1). Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.14354>
- Wang, C., & Wu, M. (2025). On the provisions of the BBNJ Agreement addressing the impacts of climate change. *Marine Policy*, 171. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2024.106429>
- White, L. V., Aisbett, E., Pearce, O., & Cheng, W. (2025). Principles for embedded emissions accounting to support trade-related climate policy. *Climate Policy*, 25(1), 109–125. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14693062.2024.2356803>

Copyright Holder :

© Budi Prasetyo et al. (2025).

First Publication Right :

© Rechtsnormen Journal of Law

This article is under:

