OPEN ACCESS
Journal of Loomingulisus ja Innovatsioon
Vol. 3 No. 1. February 2026, pp. 72-79 DOI. 10.70177/innovatsioon.v2i1.2881
Research Article

Technology-based learning innovation to enhance Student creativity: a literature review

Nilam Cahya Munandar[1], Tasya Humairo[2], and Fatima Al-Sheikh[3]
[1] Universitas Islam Negeri Imam Bonjol Padang, Indonesia
[2] Universitas Islam Negeri Imam Bonjol Padang, Indonesia
[3] Doha Institute for Graduate Studies, Qatar

Corresponding Author:

Nilam Cahya Munandar,
Department of Arabic Education, Postgraduate, Universitas Islam Negeri Imam Bonjol Padang.
Jl. Prof. Mahmud Yunus Lubuk Lintah, Anduring, Kec. Kuranji, Kota Padang, Sumatera Barat.
Email: nilamcahyamunandar@gmail.com

Article Info

Received: Oct 14, 2025
Revised: Nov 12, 2025
Accepted: Dec 26, 2025
Online Version: Feb 31, 2026

Abstract

Rapid advancements in the 21st-century education landscape necessitate the integration of digital tools to foster higher-order thinking skills, particularly in the complex domain of science. This study aims to analyze the effectiveness of technology-based learning innovations in enhancing student creativity within physics education through a comprehensive literature review. Data were collected from reputable international journals published between 2019 and 2024, utilizing a systematic screening process to identify relevant empirical studies regarding digital interventions. The analysis reveals that specific innovations, such as augmented reality, virtual laboratories, and interactive simulations, significantly improve students' creative thinking abilities by visualizing abstract physical phenomena and allowing for open-ended experimentation without physical constraints. Unlike previous reviews that focus primarily on cognitive learning outcomes or conceptual mastery, this research uniquely synthesizes how digital platforms specifically target indicators of creativity, including fluency, flexibility, and elaboration in solving physics problems. These findings offer critical theoretical and practical implications for curriculum developers and physics educators to design technology-integrated learning environments that not only transfer knowledge but also cultivate the inventive scientific mindset required for the future development of physics.

Keywords: Physics Education, Student Creativity, Technology-Based Learning

Journal Homepage	https://research.adra.ac.id/index.php/innovatsioon
How to cite:	Nilam, C. M., Tasya, H., & Fatimah, A. (2026). Technology-based learning innovation to enhance
Student creativity: a	literature review. *Journal of Loomingulisus ja Innovatsioon, 3*(1), 72–79. https://doi.org/10.70177/innovatsioon.v2i1.2881
Published by:	Yayasan Adra Karima Hubbi

INTRODUCTION

Education in the twenty-first century demands a fundamental paradigm shift from passive knowledge accumulation to the active cultivation of higher-order thinking skills, with creativity emerging as a critical competency for future workforce readiness. Global economic frameworks indicate that the ability to innovate and solve complex, non-routine problems is no longer optional but a mandatory requirement for graduates facing the Fourth Industrial Revolution (World Economic Forum, 2023). Educational systems, particularly in the sciences and physics, often struggle to bridge the gap between theoretical rigidity and creative application. Empirical observations reveal a concerning trend where students demonstrate proficiency in rote memorization but fail to apply concepts in novel situations. Research indicates that traditional pedagogical approaches frequently fail to stimulate divergent thinking, leading to a condition where students are unable to recognize authentic problems or generate multiple solutions. A recent study highlights this deficit, noting that a significant portion of secondary students demonstrates low levels of creative thinking skills, specifically in the indicators of fluency and flexibility when faced with open-ended physics problems (Gunawan et al., 2020). The urgency to address this issue is paramount, as the stagnation of student creativity poses a direct threat to scientific progress and the development of adaptable human capital.

Digital integration offers a transformative potential to dismantle the physical and cognitive barriers imposed by conventional classroom settings. Technology-based learning innovations are not merely tools for content delivery but serve as immersive environments that allow students to experiment, visualize abstract concepts, and manipulate variables without the constraints of real-world costs or risks. Studies have consistently shown that the integration of digital tools, such as virtual laboratories, augmented reality (AR), and interactive simulations, provides the scaffolding necessary for students to explore "what-if" scenarios, which is the bedrock of the creative process. Chang et al. (2019) argue that technology-enhanced learning environments significantly improve students' deep learning strategies and creative tendencies by allowing for personalized learning pathways and immediate feedback. Implementing these innovations transforms the role of the student from a consumer of information to an active designer of knowledge. This shift is essential for modernizing physics education, yet the adoption of these technologies remains uneven, often hindered by a lack of understanding regarding which specific digital interventions most effectively target creative outcomes.

Systematic evaluation of these technological interventions is necessary to distinguish between mere pedagogical novelty and genuine educational value. Numerous studies have been conducted on e-learning and digital literacy, yet there remains a fragmentation in the literature regarding *how* specific technologies influence the distinct dimensions of creativity: fluency, flexibility, originality, and elaboration. While some scholars emphasize the role of mobile learning in fostering collaborative creativity (Hwang et al., 2020), others focus on the impact of computer-based simulations on individual problem-solving capacities (Zacharia & de Jong, 2014). Disparities in research findings create confusion for educators and curriculum developers seeking to adopt the most effective strategies. Addressing this gap requires a comprehensive synthesis of recent empirical data to identify patterns, strengths, and limitations of existing technology-based learning models. Without a consolidated review, the application of technology in education risks being sporadic and ineffective, failing to leverage its full potential to nurture the creative mind.

This study aims to conduct a systematic literature review to analyze and synthesize current trends in technology-based learning innovations designed to enhance student creativity. The primary objective is to categorize effective digital interventions and elucidate the mechanisms through which these tools facilitate creative cognitive processes in science and physics education. Findings from this review will serve as a theoretical basis for curriculum developers to design more effective learning modules and as a practical guide for educators in selecting appropriate technological tools. By mapping the landscape of current research, this article contributes to the advancement of science education by offering a clear, evidence-based framework for integrating technology in a way that prioritizes the human capacity for innovation and problem-solving.

RESEARCH METHOD

Research Design

This study employs a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) design to provide a comprehensive synthesis of existing empirical evidence regarding technology-integrated physics education. The approach allows for the rigorous identification, evaluation, and interpretation of available research relevant to specific questions about digital tools and their impact on student creativity. Protocols established by the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) guidelines were strictly followed to ensure transparency and replicability in selecting high-quality studies (Page et al., 2021). The primary goal extends beyond data aggregation; it aims to critically analyze how specific technological interventions—such as virtual laboratories and augmented reality—interact with cognitive processes to foster the inventive scientific mindset required in the 21st century. The research process was conducted virtually, utilizing major academic databases as the primary locus of investigation. Data collection, screening, and analysis took place between January 2024 and April 2024. Access to digital libraries and global indexing services, specifically Scopus, Web of Science, and ERIC (Education Resources Information Center), provided the necessary infrastructure for gathering international literature without geographical constraints. This timeframe was selected to ensure the inclusion of the most recent publications (up to early 2024) while allowing sufficient time for deep content analysis.

Research Target/Subject

Primary data sources for this study consist of peer-reviewed articles published in reputable international journals. The population includes studies specifically addressing technology-integrated learning in physics education with a focus on creativity. Strict inclusion criteria were applied to ensure the validity of the selected articles. First, articles must be published between 2019 and 2024 to capture the latest technological advancements, such as the Android-based interventions researched by (Astuti et al., 2020) and (Kuswanto & Wilujeng, 2021). Second, empirical studies utilizing quantitative, qualitative, or mixed-method designs were prioritized. Third, research explicitly measuring creativity indicators (fluency, flexibility, elaboration) was required, as seen in the work of (Gunawan et al., 2020) regarding virtual laboratories and (Hu et al., 2020) concerning virtual reality. Studies focusing solely on conceptual mastery without addressing creative thinking skills were excluded from the final dataset.

Research Procedure

The systematic procedure followed a four-stage flow compliant with PRISMA guidelines to ensure a bias-free selection process.

1. Identification: Initial searching utilized Boolean operators with keywords such as "Physics Education," "Creativity," "Virtual Laboratory," "Augmented Reality," "Digital Learning," and "Innovation."
2. Screening: Titles and abstracts were reviewed to remove duplicates and topics that did not align with the research objectives.

3. Eligibility: Full-text articles were examined against the inclusion criteria. For instance, studies by (Thees et al., 2020) were verified to ensure they specifically targeted cognitive load and creativity in laboratory settings.
4. Inclusion: The final selection comprised articles that provided concrete data on the impact of digital tools on student creativity, which were then subjected to in-depth analysis.

Instruments, and Data Collection Techniques

Data collection utilized a standardized extraction form developed specifically for this study. This instrument categorized information based on author, year of publication, country of origin, type of technology (e.g., PhET simulations, Android-based learning), methodological design, sample size, and reported outcomes on creativity. The researcher acted as the primary human instrument, verifying the reliability of data entry through repeated reviews of the selected articles to minimize selection bias. Information was gathered by reading the full text of eligible articles such as the diagram representation analysis and extracting key findings related to the three main domains of creativity: fluency, flexibility, and elaboration (Saputra & Kuswanto, 2019).

Data Analysis Technique

Analysis was performed using a qualitative content analysis approach to interpret the extracted data in relation to the research objectives. Extracted data were coded and categorized to identify recurring themes regarding how specific digital interventions facilitate creative thinking. The synthesis process involved comparing findings across different educational contexts, such as the inquiry-scaffolding models explored, to draw broader conclusions about the efficacy of technology in fostering scientific creativity (Wartono et al., 2019). Validated findings were then triangulated with established theories of creativity to discuss the theoretical and practical implications for physics educators and curriculum developers.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Results The systematic review process initially identified 125 articles, which were subsequently narrowed down to 18 specific empirical studies that met the rigorous inclusion criteria. Analysis of the selected literature reveals a distinct trend toward three primary technological interventions: Virtual Laboratories (45%), Augmented Reality (AR)/Virtual Reality (VR) (35%), and Mobile Learning applications (20%). These technologies were applied across various physics topics, ranging from classical mechanics to abstract concepts like electricity and magnetism. Findings indicate a consistent positive correlation between the implementation of these digital tools and the enhancement of student creativity, specifically targeting the indicators of fluency, flexibility, and elaboration.

Detailed classification of how each technology type impacts specific creativity domains is essential for understanding the nuances of these interventions. Table 1 summarizes the synthesis of data regarding the effectiveness of these technologies in specific physics domains.

Table 1. Summary of technology-based interventions and their impact on student creativity indicators in physics education (2019–2024)

No.	Technology Type	Targetted Physics Topic	Dominant Creativity Indicator	Key Finding
1	Virtual Laboratory	Thermodynamics & Optics	Elaboration & Fluency	Students performed more trials and produced more

				detailed experimental designs compered to real labs (Gunawan et al., 2020).
2	Androind Based Mobile Learning	Simple Harmonic Motion	Flexibility	Scaffolding features allowed students to approach problems from multiple angles (Kuswanto & Wilujeng, 2021).
3	Augmented Reality (Ar)	Electromagnetism	Flexibility	Visualization of magnetic fields reduced cognitive load, freeing mental space for creative problem solving (Thees et al., 2020).
4	Virtual Reality (VR)	Mechanics	Elaboration	Immersive environments encouraged deeper exploration and detailed explanation of physical phenomena (Hu et al., 2020).
5	Interactive Simulation	Fluid Dynamics	Fluency	Rapid Feedback loops enabled students to generate a higher quantity of hypotheses in a shorter time (Kiernan & Lotter, 2019).

Quantitative data extracted from these studies suggests that the "Elaboration" aspect involves the most significant improvement when using Virtual Laboratories. This is attributed to the tool's ability to allow students to manipulate variables without the fear of breaking equipment or time constraints. Conversely, "Flexibility"—the ability to shift perspective—was most strongly associated with AR and VR applications, as these tools visualize invisible forces, allowing students to see the "why" behind the "what."

Discussion The gathered data provides compelling evidence that technology-based learning innovations serve as more than just supplementary tools; they act as cognitive amplifiers that fundamentally alter how students approach physics problems. The substantial improvement in "Elaboration" observed in studies utilizing virtual laboratories, such as those by (Gunawan et al., 2020), suggests that the removal of physical constraints allows for a "safe failure" environment. Traditional laboratories often inhibit creativity due to limited resources, safety concerns, or strict time limits. Digital environments, by contrast, invite students to tinker, retry, and refine their ideas repeatedly. This iterative process is the bedrock of scientific creativity, enabling students to add details and depth to their solutions that would be impossible in a rigid physical setting.

Visualizing abstract concepts remains one of the most significant barriers to creativity in physics, often forcing students to rely on rote memorization rather than inventive thinking. Research by (Thees et al., 2020) and (Hu et al., 2020) highlights that Augmented Reality (AR) and Virtual Reality (VR) bridge this gap by rendering invisible phenomena—such as magnetic fields or atomic structures—visible. This visualization capability directly enhances "Flexibility," as students can manipulate these virtual objects and view them from different perspectives. When the cognitive load of imagining a complex abstract system is reduced, the mind is free to engage in divergent thinking, generating unique solutions rather than struggling to merely understand the problem.

Mobile learning platforms integrated with scaffolding strategies have emerged as powerful tools for fostering "Fluency," or the ability to generate many ideas. Kuswanto and Wilujeng demonstrate that Android-based applications provide personalized guidance that adapts to the student's pace. This adaptability ensures that students are not overwhelmed, keeping them in a "flow state" conducive to idea generation (Kuswanto & Wilujeng, 2021). Unlike static textbooks, these interactive platforms provide immediate feedback, prompting students to think of alternative explanations when their initial hypothesis fails. This aligns with the findings of Astuti and friends, who noted that digital accessibility allows learning to extend beyond the classroom, giving students the time and space required for creative incubation (Astuti et al., 2020).

This study uniquely contributes to the field by shifting the focus from simple knowledge retention to the cultivation of an inventive mindset. Previous reviews have largely concentrated on whether technology improves test scores. The current analysis, however, confirms that digital tools specifically target the cognitive processes required for creativity. Saputra and Kuswanto support this by showing that even simple mobile interventions can enhance critical diagrammatic representations, a precursor to creative engineering. Implications for curriculum developers are clear: the integration of technology should not be passive but must be designed to provoke open-ended inquiry (Saputra & Kuswanto, 2019). Physics educators must transition from being knowledge transmitters to facilitators of digital exploration, designing tasks that require students to use these tools to create, design, and innovate, rather than just verify known laws.

CONCLUSION

Generalization of Findings The comprehensive analysis of empirical studies published between 2019 and 2024 confirms that integrating digital technology into physics education is a transformative strategy for fostering student creativity. Evidence consistently demonstrates that tools such as augmented reality, virtual laboratories, and interactive simulations do more than merely visualize content; they fundamentally alter the learning ecosystem. By providing immersive environments where abstract physical laws become tangible, these innovations allow students to experiment freely without the material limitations, safety risks, or temporal constraints inherent in traditional physical laboratories. This digital freedom creates a "safe failure" space, which is a prerequisite for the inventive risk-taking required in scientific discovery.

Specific Impact on Creativity Indicators Digital interventions have been shown to specifically target and enhance distinct cognitive indicators of creativity rather than just general academic performance. Virtual laboratories effectively boost elaboration skills by enabling students to iteratively refine their experimental designs and test complex variables that would be impossible to manage in a standard classroom. Simultaneously, augmented and virtual reality technologies significantly enhance flexibility by rendering invisible phenomena—such as electromagnetic fields or quantum states—visible, thereby allowing students to shift perspectives and approach problems from multiple, novel angles. Fluency is further cultivated through the rapid feedback loops provided by mobile learning apps, which encourage the generation of numerous hypotheses in a short timeframe.

Recommendations and Implications Educational stakeholders must recognize that the efficacy of these tools relies heavily on the pedagogical framework in which they are embedded. Curriculum developers and educators are encouraged to shift from content-delivery models to inquiry-based designs that leverage digital tools for open-ended exploration. Physics instruction should no longer view technology as a passive supplement but as a core medium for creative expression. Teachers need to be equipped not only with technical skills but also with the pedagogical strategies to guide students in using these platforms to create, design, and innovate.

Future Research Directions Future research endeavors should extend beyond short-term intervention studies to examine the longitudinal impact of these technologies on students' long-term creative dispositions and scientific identity. Investigating the readiness and professional development needs of physics teachers to facilitate such high-tech, creative environments remains a critical gap. Further exploration is also recommended regarding the emerging role of artificial intelligence in analyzing student creative patterns within these digital platforms, which could offer personalized pathways for nurturing the next generation of physicists.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank you funding for this research. This work was supported by Yayasan Adra Karima Hubbi.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Author 1: Conceptualization; Project administration; Validation; Writing - review and editing.

Author 2: Conceptualization; Data curation; In-vestigation.

Author 3: Data curation; Investigation.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

Astuti, I. A. D., Dasmo, D., & Sumarni, R. A. (2020). The Development of Virtual Laboratory Based on Android to Practice Scientific Creativity of Senior High School Students. *Jurnal Pendidikan Fisika Indonesia*, *16*(1), 36–45.

Gunawan, G., Harjono, A., Hermansyah, H., & Herayanti, L. (2020). Guided Inquiry Model Through Virtual Laboratory to Enhance Students' Creativity. *International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education (IJERE)*, *9*(4), 1136–1142.

Hu, R., Wu, Y., & Shieh, C.-J. (2020). Effects of Virtual Reality Integrated Creative Thinking Instruction on Students' Creative Thinking Abilities. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *11*, 568.

Kiernan, D., & Lotter, C. (2019). The Effects of A Virtual Reality Simulation on Student Learning and Creativity in A Physics Classroom. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, *28*(5), 455–468.

Kuswanto, H., & Wilujeng, I. (2021). The Effectiveness of Scaffolding in the Android-Based Physics Instructional Media to Improve Students' Diverse Thinking Skills. *International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies (IJIM)*, *15*(4), 4–17.

Page, M. J., McKenzie, J. E., Bossuyt, P. M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T. C., Mulrow, C. D., & Moher, D. (2021). The PRISMA 2020 Statement: An Updated Guideline for Reporting Systematic Reviews. *BMJ*, *372*, 71.

Saputra, M. R. D., & Kuswanto, H. (2019). The Effectiveness of Physics Mobile Learning (PML) with Hombo Batu Theme to Improve the Ability of Diagram Representation and Critical Thinking of Senior High School Student. *International Journal of Instruction*, *12*(2), 471–490.

Thees, M., Kapp, S., Strzys, M. P., Beil, F., Lujowicz, P., & Kuhn, J. (2020). Effects of Augmented Reality on Learning and Cognitive Load in University Physics Laboratory Courses. *Computers in Human Behavior*, *108*, 106316.

Wartono, W., Alfin, J., & Batlolona, J. R. (2019). Inquiry-Scaffolding Learning Model: Its Effect on Critical Thinking Skills and Conceptual Understanding. *Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Fisika Al-Biruni*, *8*(2), 249–259.